School of Engineering

MENG3500: Medical Engineering Regulatory Requirements and Quality Systems

Callaghan

Semester 1 - 2024



MUL

cricos Provider 00109J

OVERVIEW

Course Description

On completion of this course, students have a broad appreciation of the factors associated with the critical area of approval of medical devices prior to broad scale release. Students develop skills in product development both in terms of potential physical objects and the associated quality systems needed to ensure regulatory approvals. The course highlights how the regulated environment impacts the design, testing and delivery of medical devices. Invited speakers who currently work in the industry will present case studies on data collation and documentation methods necessary for the medical engineering field.

Academic Progress Requirements

Nil

Contact Hours

Callaghan

Lecture

Face to Face On Campus

2 hour(s) per week(s) for 13 week(s) starting Week 1

Tutorial

Face to Face On Campus

2 hour(s) per week(s) for 12 week(s) starting Week 2

Unit Weighting

10

Workload

Students are required to spend on average 120-140 hours of effort (contact and non-contact) including assessments per 10

unit course.



CONTACTS

Course Coordinator

Callaghan

Prof Andrew Fleming

Andrew.Fleming@newcastle.edu.au

(02) 4921 6493

Consultation: Wednesday 2pm to 3pm in EAG29

Teaching Staff

Other teaching staff will be advised on the course Canvas site.

School Office

School of Engineering

EAG02 EA Building Callaghan +61 2 4921 5798

9.00am-1.00pm and 2.00pm-5.00pm (Monday to Friday)

SYLLABUS

Course Content

- Medical engineering regulation: history and rationale
- Best practice processes for design and manufacturing to meet regulatory requirements in medical engineering
- · General standards in medical engineering
- · Benefit-risk considerations
- Specific safety systems and standards
- Software development requirements in medical systems
- Quality systems in medical engineering
- · Documentation for device approvals: requirements and obligations
- Post-market responsibilities

Course Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Describe the principles of operation of the major medical device regulatory systems in a global context
- 2. Apply key regulatory concepts to a medical device development process, including intended use and risk class
- 3. Operate within a quality management system to maintain compliance to key design, manufacturing and post-market requirements
- 4. Produce an industry relevant risk assessment of a medical device
- 5. Determine relevant standards applying to medical device development
- 6. Create the technical documentation required for medical device market authorisation

Course Materials

Lecture Materials:

- Lecture notes are available on Canvas



ASSESSMENTS

This course has 4 assessments. Each assessment is described in more detail in the sections below.

	Assessment Name	Due Date	Involvement	Weighting	Learning Outcomes
1	Quizzes x 2	See weekly schedule on Canvas	Individual	20%	1, 2, 3, 5
2	Assignment 1	See weekly schedule on Canvas	Group	35%	2, 4, 5, 6
3	Assignment 2	See weekly schedule on Canvas	Individual	15%	2, 4, 5, 6
4	Examination	Final Examination Period	Individual	30%	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

Late Submissions

The mark for an assessment item submitted after the designated time on the due date, without an approved extension of time, will be reduced by 10% of the possible maximum mark for that assessment item for each day or part day that the assessment item is late. Note: this applies equally to week and weekend days.

Assessment 1 - Quizzes x 2

Assessment Type

Purpose To provide students with feedback on student learning and stimulate discussion with lecturers

and tutors.

Description Each guiz contributes 10% to the final mark.

Weighting 20% Length 1 hour

Due Date See weekly schedule on Canvas

Quiz

Submission Method Online

Assessment Criteria Refer to the quiz description in Canvas for detailed assessment criteria

Return Method Online

Feedback Provided Online - One week after quiz.

Opportunity to Students WILL NOT be given the opportunity to reattempt this assessment. Reattempt

Assessment 2 - Assignment 1

Assessment Type Written Assignment

To apply course material to practical applications. **Purpose**

Description Independent research is conducted then presented in a professional report formal. Refer to

Canvas for a detailed description.

Weighting 35%

Due Date See weekly schedule on Canvas

Submission Method Online

Assessment Criteria Refer to the assignment description in Canvas for detailed assessment criteria

Return Method Online

Feedback Provided Online - One week after due date.

Opportunity to Students WILL NOT be given the opportunity to reattempt this assessment.

Assessment 3 - Assignment 2

Assessment Type Written Assignment

Purpose To apply course material to practical applications.

Description Independent research is conducted then presented in a professional report formal. Refer to

Canvas for a detailed description.

Weighting 15%

Due Date See weekly schedule on Canvas

Submission Method Online

Assessment Criteria Refer to the assignment description in Canvas for detailed assessment criteria

Online Return Method

Feedback Provided

Opportunity to Students WILL NOT be given the opportunity to reattempt this assessment.

Reattempt

Reattempt



Assessment 4 - Examination

Assessment Type Formal Examination

Purpose To test knowledge of the course material and ability to describe, analyse and hypothesise

from this material.

Description Formal examination covering all course material.

Weighting 30% Length 2 hours

Due Date Final Examination Period

Submission Method Formal Exam

Assessment Criteria Correct understanding and application of course content.

Return Method Not Returned **Feedback Provided** No Feedback

Opportunity to Reattempt

Students WILL NOT be given the opportunity to reattempt this assessment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Grading Scheme

This course is graded as follows:

Range of Marks	Grade	Description		
85-100	High Distinction (HD)	Outstanding standard indicating comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of an outstanding level of academic achievement; mastery skills*; and achievement of all assessment objectives.		
75-84	Distinction (D)	Excellent standard indicating a very high level of knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of a very high level of academic ability; sound development of skills*; and achievement of all assessment objectives.		
65-74	Credit (C)	Good standard indicating a high level of knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of a high level of academic achievement; reasonable development of skills*; and achievement of all learning outcomes.		
50-64	Pass (P)	Satisfactory standard indicating an adequate knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of an adequate level of academic achievement; satisfactory development of skills*; and achievement of all learning outcomes.		
0-49	Fail (FF)	Failure to satisfactorily achieve learning outcomes. If all compulsory course components are not completed the mark will be zero. A fail grade may also be awarded following disciplinary action.		

^{*}Skills are those identified for the purposes of assessment task(s).

Communication Methods

Communication methods used in this course include:

 Canvas Course Site: Students will receive communications via the posting of content or announcements on the Canvas course site.

Course Evaluation

Each year feedback is sought from students and other stakeholders about the courses offered in the University for the purposes of identifying areas of excellence and potential improvement.

Oral Interviews (Vivas)

As part of the evaluation process of any assessment item in this course an oral examination (viva) may be conducted. The purpose of the oral examination is to verify the authorship of the material submitted in response to the assessment task. The oral examination will be conducted in accordance with the principles set out in the Oral Examination (viva) Procedure. In cases where the oral examination reveals the assessment item may not be the student's own work the case will be dealt with under the Student Conduct Rule.



Academic Misconduct

All students are required to meet the academic integrity standards of the University. These standards reinforce the importance of integrity and honesty in an academic environment. Academic Integrity policies apply to all students of the University in all modes of study and in all locations. For the Student Academic Integrity Policy, refer to https://policies.newcastle.edu.au/document/view-current.php?id=35.

Adverse Circumstances

The University acknowledges the right of students to seek consideration for the impact of allowable adverse circumstances that may affect their performance in assessment item(s). Applications for special consideration due to adverse circumstances will be made using the online Adverse Circumstances system where:

- 1. the assessment item is a major assessment item; or
- 2. the assessment item is a minor assessment item and the Course Co-ordinator has specified in the Course Outline that students may apply the online Adverse Circumstances system;
- 3. you are requesting a change of placement; or
- 4. the course has a compulsory attendance requirement.

Before applying you must refer to the Adverse Circumstance Affecting Assessment Items Procedure available at:

https://policies.newcastle.edu.au/document/view-current.php?id=236

Important Policy Information

The Help button in the Canvas Navigation menu contains helpful information for using the Learning Management System. Students should familiarise themselves with the policies and procedures at

https://www.newcastle.edu.au/current-students/respect-at-uni/policies-and-procedures that support a safe and respectful environment at the University.

This course outline was approved by the Head of School on 12.02.2024. No alteration of this course outline is permitted without Head of School approval. If a change is approved, students will be notified and an amended course outline will be provided in the same manner as the original.

© 2024 The University of Newcastle, Australia



Graduate Profile Statements - MENG3500 - S1 2024

This course builds students' capacity in the following University of Newcastle Bachelor of Engineering Graduate Profile Statements (based on 2011 Engineers Australia revised Stage 1 Competency Standards for Professional Engineers):

UON Att.	University of Newcastle Bachelor of Engineering Graduate Profile Statements/ Engineers Australia Stage 1 competency statements	Taught	Practised	Assessed	Skill Level (1-4)
	Professional Attributes				
11	3.1. Ethical conduct and professional accountability		Ø	Ø	3
12	3.2. Effective oral and written communication in professional and lay domains.		\square	Ø	3
13	3.3. Creative, innovative and pro-active demeanour.				
14	3.4. Professional use and management of information.		Ø	Ø	3
15	3.5. Orderly management of self, and professional conduct.				
16	3.6. Effective team membership and team leadership.	Ø	Ø	Ø	3
	Engineering Ability				
7	2.1. Application of established engineering methods to complex engineering problem solving.				
8	2.2. Fluent application of engineering techniques, tools and resources.				
9	2.3. Application of systematic engineering synthesis and design processes.	Ø	Ø	Ø	3
10	2.4. Application of systematic approaches to the conduct and management of engineering projects.	Ø	Ø	Ø	4
	Knowledge Base				
1	1.1. Comprehensive, theory based understanding of the underpinning natural and physical sciences and the engineering fundamentals applicable to the engineering discipline.				
2	1.2. Conceptual understanding of the, mathematics, numerical analysis, statistics, and computer and information sciences which underpin the engineering discipline.				
3	1.3. In-depth understanding of specialist bodies of knowledge within the engineering discipline.	Ø	Ø	Ø	2
4	1.4. Discernment of knowledge development and research directions within the engineering discipline.				
5	1.5. Knowledge of contextual factors impacting the engineering discipline.		Ø	Ø	2
6	1.6. Understanding of the scope, principles, norms, accountabilities and bounds of contemporary engineering practice in the specific discipline.	V	Ø	Ø	4