School of Education

EDUC6740: Students with Diverse Needs

Callaghan
Trimester 3 - 2023

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE AUSTRALIA

OVERVIEW Course Description This course introduces Initial Teacher Education Students (ITES) to issues, policies and practices relating to the provision of

to issues, policies and practices relating to the provision of effective inclusive education that meets the diverse learning needs of all students, including those with disabilities, behaviour disorders and difficulties in learning. During the course students will develop the skills required for collaborating with families and other professionals, for assessing and monitoring student learning, and for making reasonable adjustments to curriculum materials so that all students have access to learning.

Assumed Knowledge Nil

Contact Hours Callaghan

Online Activity

Online

6 hour(s) per Term Full Term

Tutorial

Face to Face On Campus 24 hour(s) per Term Full Term

Tutorial may be offered face-to-face, on-line, or a combination of both and may include scheduled periods of self-directed

learning.

Unit Weighting 10

Workload Students are required to spend on average 120-140 hours of

effort (contact and non-contact) including assessments per 10

unit course.



www.newcastle.edu.au CRICOS Provider 00109J



CONTACTS

Course Coordinator

Callaghan

Ms Amanda Gray

Amanda.Gray@newcastle.edu.au Consultation: Email for appointment

Teaching Staff

Other teaching staff will be advised on the course Canvas site.

School Office

School of Education

V Building Callaghan

Education@newcastle.edu.au

+61 2 4921 6428

SYLLABUS

Course Content

- Diverse needs, and inclusive practices Legislation, policy and educational services in NSW schools.
- Planning effective teaching strategies
- Implementing reasonable adjustments to meet specific learning needs
- Understanding learning difficulties
- Developing literacy and numeracy skills Using assessment and monitoring procedures for responsive decision making
- Development of skills for collaborating with families and other professionals
- · Encouraging positive interactions

Course Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Identify and discuss issues, attitudes and concepts relevant to the education of students with diverse needs within the social context of the school.
- 2. Demonstrate knowledge of legislation, educational policies and provisions as well as syllabus documents relating to students with diverse needs including those with a disability.
- 3. Utilise a range of teaching and learning practices designed to maximise the social and learning experiences of students with diverse needs in primary and secondary settings.

Course Materials

Required Reading:

- Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA. (n.d.). General capabilities in the Australian Curriculum. https://www.australiancurriculum.edu.au/f-10-curriculum/general-capabilities/
- Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA). (n.d.) Student diversity. https://www.australiancurriculum.edu.au/resources/student-diversity/Commonwealth of Australia. (2006). *Disability Standards for Education* 2005. http://education.gov.au/disability-standards-education

Required Text:

- Strnadova, I., Arthur-Kelly M. & Foreman P. (Eds.) (2022). *Inclusion in action 6th edition*. Cengage Learning Australia Pty. Ltd.



SCHEDULE

| Week | Week Begins | Topic | Learning Activity | Assessment Due |
|------|-------------|--|---|--|
| 1 | 21 Aug | Course overview The language of disability- Person first Delay, disorder Stages of learning: Acquisition, automaticity/fluency, Maintenance, Generalisation Principles of inclusion Classification of disability | 3hr Tutorial Session Assignment 1 detailed Join a group for AT 1 RAP? Contact tutor. Reading: Chapter 1 Inclusion in Action | AT 1: Select topic for presentation. Join a group online. |
| 2 | 28 Aug | Effective group presentations Discrimination Australian legislation- DDA and DSE, objectives DSE Part 3 – on the same basis, reasonable adjustments, consultation UDL Student diversity ACARA | 3hr Tutorial Session AT 1: Group work (30 mins) Work schedule completed Reading: Chapter 2 Inclusion in Action Integrated online learning (1 hour) | AT 1: Work schedule for collaborative group uploaded to Group 'Page'. All members must acknowledge agreement to the schedule. Decide on topic and record decision on schedule. |
| 3 | 4 Sep | DSE 4 Enrolment DSE 5 Participation DSE 6 Curriculum, development, accreditation, and delivery General capabilities ACARA Disability specific skills | 3hr Tutorial Session AT 1: Group work (30 mins) Reading: Chapters 3, 4 Inclusion in Action | AT 1: DRAFT feedback Presentation day and order requests to be emailed to tutor. |
| 4 | 11 Sep | DSE 7 Support services Learning Support Teams IEP, LSP Inter-disciplinary personnel NCCD levels of adjustments Assistive technology Presentation Q and A and guidance. | 3hr Tutorial Session Reading: Chapters 512 (primary), 13 (secondary) Inclusion in Action Integrated online learning (1 hour) | AT 1: Follow group's work schedule. Communicate, negotiate, collaborate. AT1 Powerpoint submission 17th September, 2020 |
| 5 | 18 Sep | Presentations | 2hr Tutorial Session | AT 1: Group Presentations |
| 6 | 25 Sep | DSE 8 Harassment and Victimisation Social skills General capabilities Functional communication training Revision for Quiz | 2hr Tutorial Session Role play- teaching and reinforcing social skills AT 1: Group work (30 mins) Integrated online learning (1 hour) Reading: Chapter 6. 8 Inclusion in Action | |
| 7 | 2 Oct | Quiz | 2hr Tutorial Session | AT2: Quiz |



| 8 | 9 Oct | | 2hr Tutorial Session | |
|----|--------|--|---|--|
| | | ADHD Executive Functioning | Presentation and Q&A Panel | |
| | | Case Study SMART goals | Reading: Chapter 4, 11(primary), 12 (secondary) Inclusion in Action | |
| | | | Integrated online learning (1 hour) | |
| 9 | 16 Oct | Took analysis | 2hr Tutorial Session | |
| | | Task analysis | Adjust worksheet | |
| | | Adjustments to curriculum, assessment, environment | Collaborative planning: Could, should, must know | |
| | | | Reading: Chapters 9,10 Inclusion in Action | |
| 10 | 23 Oct | Behaviour and classroom management: Positive Behaviour for Learning (PBL) Form and function of | 2hr Tutorial Session | AT3 Due 29 th October 2023 |
| | | behaviour ABC functional behaviour assessment (FBA) | Integrated online learning (1 hour) | |
| | | Escalation Cycle Behaviour Management Plans | Reading: Chapter 6 Inclusion in Action | |
| | | | Placement | |
| | | _ | Placement | |
| | | Examinati | on Period | |

ASSESSMENTS

This course has 3 assessments. Each assessment is described in more detail in the sections below.

| | Assessment Name | Due Date | Involvement | Weighting | Learning Outcomes |
|---|---|---|-------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1 | Educating students with diverse needs 30% | PowerPoint submitted via Turnitin: due 17 th September 11.59pm Presentations in class week 4 | Combination | 30% | 1, 2 |
| 2 | Online Quiz 20% | Portal opens: 2 nd October 9am The portal will remain accessible for a period of one (1) hour once opened by the student. In class assessment. Please bring your own device. | Individual | 20% | 1, 2 |
| 3 | Project 50% | Due 29th October 11.59pm | Individual | 50% | 2, 3 |

Late Submissions

The mark for an assessment item submitted after the designated time on the due date, without an approved extension of time, will be reduced by 10% of the possible maximum mark for that assessment item for each day or part day that the assessment item is late. Note: this applies equally to week and weekend days.

Callaghan Trimester 3 - 2023



Assessment 1 - Educating students with diverse needs 30%

Assessment Type Purpose

Description

Case Study / Problem Based Learning

The purpose of this assignment is to identify a disability and discuss issues, attitudes and concepts and teaching strategies relevant to the education of students with diverse needs within the social context of the school. Students develop advanced knowledge and specific professional skills of collaborative planning for students with a disability in an inclusive setting. Students will engage with current research and use their information literacy skills while working in groups (specialist research groups) of up to five peers to prepare and deliver a coherent and professional presentation on a specific disability. Students will provide information about: (1) Definition and diagnosis; (2) characteristics, abilities and challenges; (3) teaching strategies relevant to the primary and/or secondary classroom; (4) resources to support the learning needs of students with that disability, including inter-disciplinary

Students are assessed on their ability to collaborate with other group members in developing a professional presentation suitable for presenting to school staff, on the chosen disability (there will be 7 defined categories for groups to choose). Students are expected to investigate

Specialist research groups will take turns in presenting information and participating in a panel who ask the specialists questions that are related to their specialist topic and inclusive classroom practice.

Weighting 30%

Length approx. 450 words per section, 3 mins per person

personnel.

Due Date PowerPoint submitted via Turnitin: due 17th September 11.59pm

Presentations in class week 5

Submission Method In Class

Online- PowerPoint submitted via Turnitin

All speaker notes submitted via Turnitin in one Word document or PDF

diverse sources and synthesise this knowledge of evidence-based practice.

In Class presentation

Assessment Criteria Tutor assessment:

Content of overall presentation- 15 marks (same marks given to all group members).

Individual Presentation- 10 marks (each student awarded marks individually according to

rubric).

Peer assessment:

Collaboration- 5 marks awarded according to rubric, averaged

Return Method Feedback Provided

In Class Online - .

Assessment 2 - Online Quiz 20%

Assessment Type Purpose

Quiz

The purpose of this assessment task is to demonstrate the application of a body of knowledge in relation to legislation, educational policies, and provisions for students with diverse needs including understanding learning processes for people with disability.

This includes developing an understanding of the role of families in supporting a child with disability and the role of the teacher as a collaborative participant in developing effective educational programs.

Description

Students complete 20 multiple-choice questions about inclusive practice in the context of the 2005 Commonwealth Disability Standards for Education.

Students are assessed on their conceptual/theoretical understanding, critical analysis, and their ability to apply the Standards to situations involving the education of students with disability.

Students may have a copy of the DSE beside them during the quiz.

Weighting

20%

Length 20 Multiple Choice Questions

EDUC6740: Students with Diverse Needs

Callaghan Trimester 3 - 2023



Due Date Portal opens: 2nd October 9am

The portal will remain accessible for a period of one (1) hour once opened by the student. In

class assessment. Please bring your own device.

Submission Method Assessment Criteria

Online

Assessment Criteria Students select correct answer on 20 multiple choice questions.

Return Method Online **Feedback Provided** Online - .

Assessment 3 - Project 50%

Assessment Type

Project

Purpose

Description

The purpose of this assignment is to give students the opportunity to demonstrate awareness of, and a capacity to incorporate into planning, evidence-based teaching and learning strategies designed to optimise academic and social outcomes for students with additional needs in primary and secondary settings. Students are required to write a review of three articles that address both the likely impact of ADHD on classroom performance (learning and behaviour) and the evidence-based strategies that have been shown to minimise barriers to learning that result from these impacts. Students then apply this knowledge from the review into planning to meet the needs of a particular case study student with ADHD

Assessment 3A: Literature Review - 30%

Assessment 3A: Literature Review - 30%

Select four (4) peer reviewed references relating to the education of students with ADHD

(posted in the Online Readings tab on Canvas).

Synthesise and analyse information from these articles to discuss the impact that ADHD can have on classroom performance in relation to difficulties/challenges with academic achievement and behaviour. Discuss some evidenced-based strategies and classroom approaches from the journal articles that teachers can incorporate to minimise barriers to learning that result from these difficulties. These approaches may include strategies for classroom organisation, lesson planning and/or delivery. (1200 words)

Assessment 3B: Application of Evidence-based Strategies to Practice - 20%

Read the case study profile, a year 6 or year 7 student diagnosed with ADHD. Imagine that this student is in your class. You have discussed the student's progress with the parents who had requested a Learning Support Team Meeting following concerns about the mid-year report and a detention that the student had been given. During this meeting (simulated through group work during the tutorial) you collaboratively develop one academic learning goal and one behavioural/social learning goal based on the student's prioritised needs, to be achieved after ten weeks of intervention.

Present and justify these goals in relation to the student's individual strengths, interests and needs as outlined in the profile, and with reference to the typical characteristics of students with ADHD as discussed in the research articles from Part A. Ensure that your goals are presented in SMART format. (The goals for each group member will be exactly the same.)

Outline two strategies that you will implement to support achievement of each of these goals. The strategies must allow for full academic and social inclusion of the student, as required by the Disability Standards for Education, 2005. Implementation details must be provided to demonstrate insight into the evidence-based teaching practices from the research articles in Part A. For the purpose of this assignment, no Learning Support Officer (teacher's aide) is available to assist with any strategies or their implementation. (800 words)

50%

Online

Weighting Length

2000 words

Due Date Submission Method 29th October 11.59pm

Online via Turnitin

Use template available on Canvas

Double spaced, font Times New Roman or Comic Sans size 12.

Assessment Criteria

Assessment 3A: Literature Review - 30% Definition and characteristics of ADHD /4

Impact on academic and behavioural outcomes /6

Evidence based strategies and classroom approaches /12

Academic literacy /8



Assessment 3B: Application of Evidence-based Strategies to Practice - 20%

SMART goals /2

Justification of goals based on literature, student's needs and strengths /8

Evidence based strategies /4 Implementation details /6

Return Method Feedback Provided Online

Online - . Online rubric

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Grading Scheme

This course is graded as follows:

| Range of Marks | Grade | Description |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 85-100 | High Distinction (HD) | Outstanding standard indicating comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of an outstanding level of academic achievement; mastery of skills*; and achievement of all assessment objectives. |
| 75-84 | Distinction (D) | Excellent standard indicating a very high level of knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of a very high level of academic ability; sound development of skills*; and achievement of all assessment objectives. |
| 65-74 | Credit (C) | Good standard indicating a high level of knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of a high level of academic achievement; reasonable development of skills*; and achievement of all learning outcomes. |
| 50-64 | Pass (P) | Satisfactory standard indicating an adequate knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of an adequate level of academic achievement; satisfactory development of skills*; and achievement of all learning outcomes. |
| 0-49 | Fail (FF) | Failure to satisfactorily achieve learning outcomes. If all compulsory course components are not completed the mark will be zero. A fail grade may also be awarded following disciplinary action. |

Attendance

*Skills are those identified for the purposes of assessment task(s). Attendance/participation will be recorded in the following components:

 Tutorial (Method of recording: Attendance record will be marked at the beginning of each tutorial session)

Communication Methods

Communication methods used in this course include:

- Canvas Course Site: Students will receive communications via the posting of content or announcements on the Canvas course site.
- Email: Students will receive communications via their student email account.

It is important that you keep up to date with course information and communication from teaching staff. Please ensure you check the course canvas site and your student email regularly.

Course Evaluation

Each year feedback is sought from students and other stakeholders about the courses offered in the University for the purposes of identifying areas of excellence and potential improvement.

Oral Interviews (Vivas)

As part of the evaluation process of any assessment item in this course an oral examination (viva) may be conducted. The purpose of the oral examination is to verify the authorship of the material submitted in response to the assessment task. The oral examination will be conducted in accordance with the principles set out in the Oral Examination (viva) Procedure. In cases where the oral examination reveals the assessment item may not be the student's own work the case will be dealt with under the Student Conduct Rule.



Academic Misconduct

All students are required to meet the academic integrity standards of the University. These standards reinforce the importance of integrity and honesty in an academic environment. Academic Integrity policies apply to all students of the University in all modes of study and in all locations. For the Student Academic Integrity Policy, refer to https://policies.newcastle.edu.au/document/view-current.php?id=35.

Adverse Circumstances

The University acknowledges the right of students to seek consideration for the impact of allowable adverse circumstances that may affect their performance in assessment item(s). Applications for special consideration due to adverse circumstances will be made using the online Adverse Circumstances system where:

- the assessment item is a major assessment item; or
- 2. the assessment item is a minor assessment item and the Course Co-ordinator has specified in the Course Outline that students may apply the online Adverse Circumstances system;
- 3. you are requesting a change of placement; or
- 4. the course has a compulsory attendance requirement.

Before applying you must refer to the Adverse Circumstance Affecting Assessment Items Procedure available at:

https://policies.newcastle.edu.au/document/view-current.php?id=236

Important Policy Information

The Help button in the Canvas Navigation menu contains helpful information for using the Learning Management System. Students should familiarise themselves with the policies and procedures at https://www.newcastle.edu.au/current-students/no-room-for/policies-and-procedures that support a safe and respectful environment at the University.

This course outline was approved by the Head of School. No alteration of this course outline is permitted without Head of School approval. If a change is approved, students will be notified and an amended course outline will be provided in the same manner as the original.

© 2023 The University of Newcastle, Australia

Carpielo

CONFIDENTIAL PEER ASSESSMENT rubric EDUC 6740 Assessment task 1: Educating students with diverse needs Tri 3 2023

| In group peer assessment: Collaboration- 5 marks, averaged | Novice | Competent | Proficient |
|--|---|---|---|
| Contribution to design, development and content of PowerPoint presentation | O marks The student did not participate in suggestions or decision making about the design, development and content of the PowerPoint presentation. | 1 mark The student contributed adequate suggestions and participated in some decision making about the design, development and content of the PowerPoint presentation. | 2 marks The student contributed extensive suggestions and wholeheartedly participated in decision making about the design, development and content of the PowerPoint presentation. |
| Contribution to research | 0 marks The student's research was very limited and did not tend to advance the project. | 1 mark The student's research was adequate and advanced some parts of the project. | 2 marks The student's research was thorough and comprehensively advanced the entire project. |
| Communication | O marks The student participated in a few or no group meetings. Did little or no assigned tasks to meet the agreed schedule. | 0.5 mark The student missed some group meetings but usually completed tasks to meet the agreed deadlines in the schedule. The student listened and responded appropriately to some suggestions from others. Sometimes provided helpful feedback to group members. | 1 marks The student participated in all group meetings, met all deadlines, listened and responded appropriately to suggestions from others. Provided positive and constructive feedback to group members. |
| Your name: | | Tutorial group and topic: (e.g. Michelle | e's tute, ASD) |
| Group member 1 (name) | | Marks: | = /5 |
| Group member 2 (name) | | Marks: | = /5 |
| Group member 3 (name) | | Marks: | = /5 |
| Group member 4 (name) | | Marks: | = /5 |

Instructions: Give each of the other members of your group a mark for each of the sections in the above rubric. Place the scores next to the name of each student (e.g. John Smith 1,2,1= 4). Please note that group size may differ and the score for each member will be averaged and added to their final grade for Assessment 1. Please return this sheet to your tutor on the day of your presentation, folded to ensure confidentiality.

Feedback rubric EDUC 6740 Assessment Task 1: Educating students with diverse needs TOTAL 30% (including peer assessment) Tri 3 2023

| CRITERIA | Novice | Competent | Proficient | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Content of overall presentation- 15 mai | Content of overall presentation- 15 marks (same marks given to all group members). | | | | | | |
| PPT coherent and suitable for professional staff development | 0 PPT resource lacks coherence and visual appeal. | Some aspects of the PPT resource are coherent. Some aspects of the visual resource are designed to engage the audience. | All aspects of the PPT resource are coherent. Visual resource is appealing and engages the audience. | | | | |
| Essential content included | Only some essential criteria included, with minimal detail. May have some inaccurate informationDefinition and diagnosis -Characteristics, abilities and challenges -Teaching strategies relevant to the primary and/or secondary classroom; -Resources to support the learning needs of students with that disability, including inter-disciplinary personnel. | Most essential criteria included, with adequate and accurate detailDefinition and diagnosis -Characteristics, abilities and challenges -Teaching strategies relevant to the primary and/or secondary classroom; -Resources to support the learning needs of students with that disability, including inter-disciplinary personnel. | All essential criteria included with elaboration and accuracyDefinition and diagnosis -Characteristics, abilities and challenges -Teaching strategies relevant to the primary and/or secondary classroom; -Resources to support the learning needs of students with that disability, including inter-disciplinary personnel. | | | | |
| Research sources | 1 Research limited to five sources. Material may be older than 10 years and not peer reviewed. | 2 Satisfactory range of research sources (6-10), including peer reviewed literature. | 3 Substantial and thorough research consisting of recently (within 10 years) published material from a range of sources (11+), including peer reviewed literature. | | | | |
| Academic literacy | O APA 7 protocols inconsistently followed. Two essential criteria omitted: -Reference list not included as the end of PPTMulti-media sources not referenced. | 3 APA 7 protocols mostly accurate. Most essential criteria included: -Reference list included as the end of PPTIn-text referencing used where neededMulti-media sources correctly referenced. May have two or more typing or spelling errors. | 5 APA 7 protocols accurately followed. All essential criteria included: -Reference list included as the end of PPTIn-text referencing used where neededMulti-media sources correctly referenced. Free from typing or spelling errors. | | | | |

| | on otacont awarded marks marviadally del | Individual Presentation- 10 marks (each student awarded marks individually according to rubric). | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Active listening | Did not ask questions, or questions did not contribute to application of theory to practice. | 1 Questions posed to the specialists indicate limited engagement and limited application of theory to practice. | Questions posed to the specialists indicate active listening, are related to the specialist topic or inclusive classroom practice. | | | | |
| Person first language | Two or more instances of not using person first language, and not self-corrected. | 1 One instance of not using person first language, and not self-corrected. | Person first language maintained at all times, including self-correction. | | | | |
| Ability to speak with minimal notes and engage the audience | 0 Student reads all or most of their presentation. | 1 Significant use of notes impedes engagement with audience. | Minimal palm cards or PPT slides used to prompt speaker who engages audience with consistent use of eye contact. | | | | |
| Communicate key information | O Oral presentation lacks accuracy, clarity, or may be poorly organised. Voice pitch, pace, articulation or projection may impede communication through the spoken word. | Oral presentation is always accurate, mostly logical, well organised and explained. Voice pitch, pace, articulation and projection is usually appropriate, facilitating communication through the spoken word. | Oral presentation is always accurate, logical, well organised and explained. Voice pitch, pace, articulation and projection are always appropriate, facilitating communication through the spoken word. | | | | |
| Response to questions | O Student does not demonstrate adequate understanding of the chosen disability when answering questions from the audience and tutor. | 1 Student demonstrates adequate understanding of the chosen disability when answering questions from the audience and tutor. | Student demonstrates thorough understanding of the chosen disability when answering questions from the audience and tutor. Able to elaborate or provide examples. | | | | |

TOPIC: Tutor: Specialist research group members:

| 1. | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| 4. | | | |
| 5. | | | |

Feedback rubric EDUC6740 Assessment Task 3_Tri 1_2023

| CRITERIA | Novice | Competent | Proficient |
|--|---|---|--|
| Part A Literature Review (1200 wo | rds) 30% | | |
| Definition and characteristics of ADHD /4 | 2 Definition of ADHD may be inaccurate or over-simplified, and explanation of typical characteristics limited. | 4 Accurate definition of ADHD and explanation of typical characteristics | 4 Accurate definition of ADHD and explanation of typical characteristics |
| Impact on academic and behavioural outcomes /6 | Limited understanding of how and why the characteristics of ADHD may impact on one or both academic & behavioural outcomes for a student with ADHD. | Clear understanding of how and why the characteristics of ADHD may result in difficulties in both academic & behavioural outcomes for a student with ADHD. | 3 Excellent level of insight, understanding and reflective thought about how and why the characteristics of ADHD may cause difficulties in both academic & behavioural outcomes for a student with ADHD. |
| | 1 Research literature is utilised to justify some points. | 2 Research literature is utilised to justify most main points in the discussion. | 3 Research literature is utilised to justify all main points. |
| Evidence based strategies and classroom approaches /12 | Three or less strategies and classroom practices identified and explained with reference to evidence. | Between four to five strategies and classroom practices identified and explained with reference to evidence. | At least six strategies and classroom practices identified and explained with reference to evidence. |
| | 1 Basic understanding about how and why these interventions support the learning difficulties of a student with ADHD. | Very clear understanding about how and why these interventions support the learning difficulties of a student with ADHD. | 3 Excellent level of insight, understanding and reflective thought about how and why the strategies support the learning difficulties of a student with ADHD. |
| | Discussion of some key themes relevant to the topic tends to be a summary of key authors | 2 Logical analysis identifies key information relevant to the topic. Synthesis may have been attempted. | 3 Substantial and logical analysis and synthesis identifies key information relevant to the topic. Information is well integrated with relevant course readings. |
| Academic literacy /8 | Less than four peer refereed pieces of literature, references may not be from course reading list. | 4 Four peer refereed pieces of literature from course reading list utilised. | 4 Four peer refereed pieces of literature from course reading list utilised. |
| | 0 APA style may be inaccurate and/or incomplete for Reference List and in- | 1 | 2 |

| plag quo with | t referencing. May include agiarism or over-reliance on direct otes. Many statements made shout reference to refereed erature to substantiate. | APA style is mostly accurate and/or complete for Reference List and intext referencing. | APA style is accurate and complete for Reference List and in-text referencing. |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| inac in to pur and | eadability of text is impeded by accurate or inadequate presentation terms of grammar, sentence length, nctuation, paragraphing, structure d organisation. Introduction or nclusion may be omitted. | Readability of text is assisted by mostly accurate presentation in terms of grammar, sentence length, punctuation, paragraphing, structure and organisation. Headings and subheadings used to organise themes. Effective introduction and conclusion. | Readability of text is assisted by outstanding presentation in terms of grammar, sentence length, punctuation, paragraphing, cohesive structure and organisation. Headings and sub-headings used to represent and clearly categorise relevant themes and sub-themes. |

| Part B Application of evidence-base | Part B Application of evidence-based strategies to practice (800 words) 20% | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| SMART goal: academic | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | | | |
| - | Some aspects of SMART protocols | Some aspects of SMART protocols | SMART protocols accurate | | | |
| | accurate, but two or more | accurate, but one component is not | | | | |
| | components are not accurate. | accurate. | | | | |
| Justification of goal | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| | The goal is not appropriate in relation | The goal is appropriate in relation to | The goal is appropriate and explicitly | | | |
| | to the case study student's strengths | the case study student's strengths | linked to the case study student's | | | |
| | and needs. | and needs. | strengths and needs. | | | |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| | Justification omits or makes limited | Justification refers generally to | Justification refers specifically to | | | |
| | links to characteristics of ADHD. | characteristics of ADHD. | relevant characteristics of ADHD. | | | |
| Evidence based strategies | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| | One strategy promotes exclusion or is | Only one strategy facilitates inclusion | Both (2) strategies facilitate inclusion | | | |
| | unlikely to achieve achievement of | and achievement of the goal as | and achievement of the goal as | | | |
| | the goal as required by the DSE. | required by the DSE. | required by the DSE. | | | |
| Implementation details | 0 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | The case study student's interests are | The case study student's interests are | The case study student's interests are | | | |
| | not taken into account. | taken into account. | taken into account. | | | |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| | Limited links to evidence-based | Several links to evidence-based | Insight into the evidence-based | | | |
| | teaching practices from the research | teaching practices from the research | teaching practices from the research | | | |
| | articles in Part A. Implementation may | articles in Part A demonstrated. | articles in Part A demonstrated. | | | |
| | rely on access to additional staff | | Implementation is realistic and | | | |
| | member (e.g. Learning Support | | achievable in a mainstream inclusive | | | |
| | Officer) | | classroom. | | | |

| OMART week bakes to welfer a tel | | 0.5 | 4 |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| SMART goal: behavioural/social | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| | Some aspects of SMART protocols | Some aspects of SMART protocols | SMART protocols accurate |
| | accurate, but two or more | accurate, but one component is not | |
| | components are not accurate. | accurate. | |
| Justification of goal | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | The goal is not appropriate in relation | The goal is appropriate in relation to | The goal is appropriate and explicitly |
| | to the case study student's strengths | the case study student's strengths | linked to the case study student's |
| | and needs. | and needs. | strengths and needs. |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Justification omits or makes limited | Justification refers generally to | Justification refers specifically to |
| | links to characteristics of ADHD. | characteristics of ADHD. | relevant characteristics of ADHD. |
| Evidence based strategies | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | One strategy promotes exclusion or is | Only one strategy facilitates inclusion | Both (2) strategies facilitate inclusion |
| | unlikely to achieve achievement of | and achievement of the goal as | and achievement of the goal as |
| | the goal as required by the DSE. | required by the DSE. | required by the DSE. |
| Implementation details | | 1 | 1 |
| | The case study student's interests are | The case study student's interests are | The case study student's interests are |
| | not taken into account. | taken into account. | taken into account. |
| | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Limited links to evidence-based | Several links to evidence-based | Insight into the evidence-based |
| | teaching practices from the | teaching practices from the | teaching practices from the |
| | research articles in Part A. | research articles in Part A | research articles in Part A |
| | Implementation may rely on | demonstrated. | demonstrated. Implementation is |
| | access to additional staff member | domonou atou. | realistic and achievable in a |
| | | | mainstream inclusive classroom. |
| 1 | (e.g. Learning Support Officer) | | manisheam inclusive classioom. |