School of Education

EDUC2136: Specialist Studies in Drama 1

Callaghan Semester 2 - 2022

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE AUSTRALIA

COURSE

www.newcastle.edu.au CRICOS Provider 00109J

OVERVIEW

Course Description

In this course students will consider the role and value of Drama education; lesson planning and programming for Stage 4/5 Drama curriculum; course and assessment requirements of the NSW School Certificate for Drama; the literacy and numeracy demands of Drama as an area of study; ways of differentiating curriculum to meet the diverse needs of learners; a range of strategies, technologies and resources for teaching and assessing drama; and current issues and trends related to the teaching of Drama in schools. Students will also be introduced to drama processes relating to improvisation, play building, scripted drama, and theatre.

Requisites

Enrolment in this course is dependent on meeting the teacher education admission milestone of successful completion of

- Three HSC band 5s (including one in English) or
- 80 units of UoN courses or
- Regulatory authority approved comparable pathways or
- Commencement in the program pre 2016

Assumed Knowledge

EDUC1101

Contact Hours

Integrated Learning Session

Online

6 hour(s) per Term Full Term

Integrated Learning will typically consist of a series of online

activities.

Lecture

Face to Face On Campus 1 hour(s) per Week for 8 Weeks

Tutorial

Face to Face On Campus 2 hour(s) per Week for 8 Weeks

Unit Weighting

10

Workload

Students are required to spend on average 120-140 hours of effort (contact and non-contact) including assessments per 10 unit course.

Callaghan Semester 2 - 2023



CONTACTS

Course Coordinator

Callaghan

Dr Christine Hatton

Christine.Hatton@newcastle.edu.au

(02) 4348 4105 Consultation:

Teaching Staff

Other teaching staff will be advised on the course Canvas site.

School Office

School of Education

V Building Callaghan

Education@newcastle.edu.au

+61 2 4921 6428

SYLLABUS

Course Content

- The principles and practices of teaching and learning Drama in secondary schools.
- The role and value of Drama education in contemporary society and the broader school curriculum.
- Lesson planning and programming for Stage 4/5 Drama curriculum.
- Course and assessment requirements of the NSW School Certificate for Drama.
- The literacy and numeracy demands of Drama as an area of study.
- Differentiating curriculum to meet the diverse needs of learners in the Drama classroom.
- Strategies, technologies and resources for teaching and assessing drama.
- Current issues and trends related to the teaching of Drama in schools.
- Drama processes relating to improvisation, play building, scripted drama, and theatre.

Course Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

- 1. Articulate role and value of Drama education in contemporary society and the broader school curriculum.
- 2. Develop lesson plans, programs and assessment tasks appropriate for Stage 4/5 Drama curriculum.
- 3. Support the literacy and numeracy needs of students studying Drama.
- 4. Differentiate curriculum to meet the diverse needs of learners in the Drama classroom.
- 5. Use a range of strategies, technologies and resources for teaching and assessing drama.
- 6. Articulate current issues and trends related to the teaching of Drama in schools.
- 7. Engage in Drama processes relating to improvisation, playbuilding, scripted drama, and theatre.

Course Materials

Recommended Reading:

- Davis, S. (2009). Interactive drama using cyberspaces. In M. Anderson, J. Carroll & D. Cameron (Eds.), *Drama Education with Digital Technology* (pp. 149 167). London: Continuum.
- Haseman, B. (2002). The Creative Industry of Designing a Contemporary Drama Curriculum. *Melbourne Studies in Education*, 43 (2), 119-129
- Hatton, C. & Lovesy, S. (2015). Schooling the imagination in the 21 century...(or why playbuilding matters). In M. Anderson & C. Roche (Eds.), *The State of the Art: Teaching Drama in the 21 Century* (pp. 67-83). Sydney: University of Sydney Press.
- Neelands, J. ([1997] 2010). Structuring to begin. In P. O'Connor (Ed.), *Creating Democratic Citizenship through Drama Education* (pp. 49 61). London: Trentham.
- Neelands, J. (1997). Section 2 'The roles skills and knowledge of the drama teacher'.



In Beginning Drama 11-14. London: David Fulton.

- Neelands, J. (2015). Art makes children powerful: art for the many not the few. In M.Fleming, L.Bresler & J. O'Toole (Eds), *The Routledge International Handbook of the Arts and Education* (pp. 410 417). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- O'Neill, C. (1995). *Drama Worlds: A Framework for Process Drama.* Portsmouth: Heinemann. Chapter 5.
- O'Toole, J. & O'Mara, J. (2007). Proteus, the giant at the door: Drama and theater in the curriculum. In L. Bresler (Ed.), *International Handbook of Research in Arts Education* (pp. 203 218). Dortrecht: Springer.
- O'Toole, J. (2015). When advocacy meets opportunity,,,what's the reality? Establishing drama in the curriculum. In M.Fleming, L.Bresler & J. O'Toole (Eds.), *The Routledge International Handbook of the Arts and Education* (pp. 185 193). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Peter, M (2015). 'Aesthetic learning for all': Drama in education for children with special educational needs. In M.Fleming, L.Bresler & J. O'Toole (Eds.), *The Routledge International Handbook of the Arts and Education* (pp. 234 253). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Simons, J. (1991). Concept development and drama: scaffolding the learning. In J. Hughes (Ed.), *State of the Art* (pp. 25-32). Rozelle: Educational Drama Association NSW.
- Simons, J. (2000). Walking in another person's shoes: storytelling and role play. In H. Nicholson & A. Kempe (Eds.), *Teaching Drama 11-18* (pp. 16 25). London: Continuum. -Wright, D. (2004). Embodied learning and drama education. In C. Hatton & M. Anderson (Eds.), *The State of our Art: NSW Perspectives in Educational Drama* (pp. 77 89). Sydney: Currency Press.

Recommended Text:

Haseman, B. & O'Toole, J. (2017) *Dramawise Re-Imagined*. Sydney: Currency Press.

Required Reading:

Board of Studies NSW (2003) *Drama Years 7-10 Syllabus*. Sydney: Board of Studies NSW.

Required Text:

Hatton, C. & Lovesy, S. (2009) *Young at Art: Classroom Playbuilding in Practice.* London: Routledge.



SCHEDULE

| Week | Week Begins | Topic | Learning Activity | Assessment Due |
|------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 18 Jul | Introduction to the course, | | |
| | | the drama curriculum and | | |
| | | field of drama education | | |
| 2 | 25 Jul | The drama learning process | | |
| | | - Unpacking the NSW 7-10 | | |
| | | Drama Syllabus ; the drama | | |
| | | learning process | | |
| 3 | 1 Aug | Core skills, elements and | | |
| | _ | practices in drama; | | |
| | | organizing and managing the | | |
| | | drama learning process; | | |
| | | signature pedagogies of | | |
| | | drama 1 - Process drama | | |
| 4 | 8 Aug | Planning for rich drama | | Assignment 1 due |
| | 3 | learning; Signature | | 11 August 2023 at |
| | | pedagogies of drama 2 – | | 11:59pm |
| | | Playbuilding | | |
| 5 | 15 Aug | Planning for rich drama | | |
| | 107.49 | learning; units, lessons and | | |
| | | assessment in drama; | | |
| | | signature pedagogies of | | |
| | | drama 2 – Playbuilding | | |
| 6 | 22 Aug | Assessment and reporting in | | |
| | 227139 | drama; linking to literacy and | | |
| | | numeracy in /through drama; | | |
| | | signature pedagogies of | | |
| | | drama 3 - theatrical | | |
| | | approach to working with | | |
| | | texts | | |
| 7 | 29 Aug | Drama as an inclusive | | Assignment 2 Part |
| | | pedagogy - catering for | | A due 1 September |
| | | diverse learners; | | 2023 at 11:59pm |
| | | performance and production | | , |
| | | in secondary drama - OH&S | | |
| | | issues; drama and the school | | |
| | | community; excursions and | | |
| | | incursions | | |
| 8 | 5 Sep | Technology-rich drama | | |
| | ' | practice; teaching dramatic | | |
| | | forms and styles | | |
| 9 | 12 Sep | | | |
| 10 | 19 Sep | | | |
| | | Mid-Term | | |
| | | Mid-Term | Break | |
| 11 | 10 Oct | | | Assignment 2 Part |
| | | | | B due 13 October |
| | _ | | | 2023 at 11:59pm |
| 12 | 17 Oct | | | |
| 13 | 24 Oct | | | |
| | | Examination | | |
| | | Examination | Period | |

Callaghan Semester 2 - 2023



ASSESSMENTS

This course has 2 assessments. Each assessment is described in more detail in the sections below.

| | Assessment Name | Due Date | Involvement | Weighting | Learning Outcomes |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Essay/Written Assignment | Week 4, Friday 11 August 2023, 11:59pm | Individual | 40% | 1, 6 |
| 2 | Unit Plan | Part A - Week 7, Friday 1 September 2023, 11:59PM. | Individual | 60% | 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 |
| | | Part B - Week 11, Friday 13 October 2023, 11:59PM. | | | |

Late Submissions

The mark for an assessment item submitted after the designated time on the due date, without an approved extension of time, will be reduced by 10% of the possible maximum mark for that assessment item for each day or part day that the assessment item is late. Note: this applies equally to week and weekend days.

Assessment 1 - Essay/Written Assignment

Assessment Type

Purpose

Description

Written Assignment

The purpose of this assignment is to develop students' critical skills and to provide students with a deep understanding of drama theory, curriculum and pedagogy.

This assignment is an academic essay which requires students to engage with key concepts and theories in drama education to consider the nature of experiential drama learning within the contemporary educational context. Students will draw upon their understandings of drama teaching and learning, as well as the NSW curriculum to answer the essay question. The essay question will be given to students in class. APA 7th edition referencing must be used for this essay.

APST: 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.1, 3.3

Weighting

Length 1500 words

Due Date Week 4, Friday 11 August 2023, 11:59pm

Submission Method

Online

40%

Through Turnitin with a coversheet attached.

Assessment Criteria

Quality submissions will demonstrate:

- 1. Substantial understanding of drama education theory, curriculum and pedagogy.
- 2. Skill in formulating and executing a well structured essay in response to the question.
- 3. An effective critical analysis of the nature of experiential learning in drama.
- 4. Effective use of a range of relevant theory to develop and support the presentation of a coherent argument.

5. Effective and organised written communication, with a high standard of academic literacy and accurate APA 7th referencing.

Return Method

Online

Feedback Provided Online - Three weeks after submission.

Assessment 2 - Unit Plan

Assessment Type Purpose

Proposal / Plan

The purpose of this assignment is to give students the opportunity to apply their understanding of drama curriculum, syllabus and theory to a Stage 5 unit planning project. Students undertake research in their chosen topic and plan rich drama learning process for a secondary school context. They will analyse and utilise core syllabus components and relevant artistic practices in the preparation of a series of drama lessons and assessment processes suitable for the Stage 5 classroom.

Description

Students demonstrate this by researching and constructing a six week Stage 5 teaching unit based on the NSW 7-10 Drama Syllabus and relevant documents. The unit must implement Stage 5 syllabus outcomes and content, and engage students in rich drama learning processes that are experiential, creative and collaborative.



Students must use the templates that will be provided for Part A and B of this assignment. These will be published on Canvas. Students are assessed on the quality of planning and their understanding of syllabus and theoretical content, learning design, as well as assessment requirements in Stage 5 Drama.

This assignment has two parts:

Part A Unit Plan - 30% Length (±10%): 1500 words or equivalent

This section of the unit provides overarching information about how the unit has been planned. It includes:

- 1. A unit rationale that summarises the approach you have taken to the Stage 5 topic and justification of the design of the unit
- 2. Syllabus objectives, outcomes and core content to be covered
- 3. A 6 week unit map briefly showing the topic content to be covered week by week
- 4. A brief statement summarising how the unit caters for diverse learners and how technology is used
- 5. A summary of the assessment strategies to be used within the unit (both assessment for and of learning).
- 6. A copy of summative assessment(s) and marking guidelines to be used for this unit.
- 7. A list and copies of relevant resources and references to be used in the unit. (Full copies of resources to be provided as appendices).

Part B Lesson Plans - 30% Length (±10%): 1500 words or equivalent

This section of the unit provides six practical drama lessons drawn from early in the unit structure. Lessons in this phase of the unit are experiential workshops that develop both skills and understandings, putting drama concepts into practice. This section includes:

- 1. Six Stage 5, hour long drama lesson plans that address and integrate both practical and conceptual aspects of learning.
- 2. Implementation and interpretation of syllabus objectives, outcomes and core content to be learned.
- 3. A range of experiential strategies to engage students in the drama learning process (making, performing and appreciating).
- 4. Effective formative strategies to support student development appropriate to lesson content.

APST: 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, 5.1

Weighting Length Due Date

60% 3000 words

Part A - Week 7, Friday 1 September 2023, 11:59PM.

Part B - Week 11, Friday 13 October 2023, 11:59PM.

Submission Method

Online

Through Turnitin with a coversheet attached.

Assessment Criteria

Quality submissions will demonstrate:

1. An effective understanding of NSW Drama 7-10 Syllabus content, contexts and assessment requirements.



- 2. An age appropriate and interesting interpretation of topic content in the unit plan
- 3. Skill in selection and design of effective teaching strategies to engage students in quality drama learning experiences.
- 4. Evidence of sound organisation, synthesis and analysis in relation to drama curriculum, theory and pedagogical knowledge.
- 5. Effective formative and summative assessment strategies suitable to the unit design and targeted learning outcomes.
- 6. A selection of relevant resources and references to be used to enhance learning.

Return Method Online Feedback Provided Online

Online - Three weeks after submission.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Grading Scheme

This course is graded as follows:

| Range of Marks | Grade | Description |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 85-100 | High Distinction (HD) | Outstanding standard indicating comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of an outstanding level of academic achievement; mastery of skills*; and achievement of all assessment objectives. |
| 75-84 | Distinction (D) | Excellent standard indicating a very high level of knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of a very high level of academic ability; sound development of skills*; and achievement of all assessment objectives. |
| 65-74 | Credit (C) | Good standard indicating a high level of knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of a high level of academic achievement; reasonable development of skills*; and achievement of all learning outcomes. |
| 50-64 | Pass (P) | Satisfactory standard indicating an adequate knowledge and understanding of the relevant materials; demonstration of an adequate level of academic achievement; satisfactory development of skills*; and achievement of all learning outcomes. |
| 0-49 | Fail (FF) | Failure to satisfactorily achieve learning outcomes. If all compulsory course components are not completed the mark will be zero. A fail grade may also be awarded following disciplinary action. |

^{*}Skills are those identified for the purposes of assessment task(s).

Communication Methods

Communication methods used in this course include:

Course Evaluation

Each year feedback is sought from students and other stakeholders about the courses offered in the University for the purposes of identifying areas of excellence and potential improvement.

Oral Interviews (Vivas)

As part of the evaluation process of any assessment item in this course an oral examination (viva) may be conducted. The purpose of the oral examination is to verify the authorship of the material submitted in response to the assessment task. The oral examination will be conducted in accordance with the principles set out in the Oral Examination (viva) Procedure. In cases where the oral examination reveals the assessment item may not be the student's own work the case will be dealt with under the Student Conduct Rule.

Academic Misconduct

All students are required to meet the academic integrity standards of the University. These standards reinforce the importance of integrity and honesty in an academic environment. Academic Integrity policies apply to all students of the University in all modes of study and in all locations. For the Student Academic Integrity Policy, refer to https://policies.newcastle.edu.au/document/view-current.php?id=35.



Adverse Circumstances

The University acknowledges the right of students to seek consideration for the impact of allowable adverse circumstances that may affect their performance in assessment item(s). Applications for special consideration due to adverse circumstances will be made using the online Adverse Circumstances system where:

- 1. the assessment item is a major assessment item; or
- 2. the assessment item is a minor assessment item and the Course Co-ordinator has specified in the Course Outline that students may apply the online Adverse Circumstances system;
- 3. you are requesting a change of placement; or
- 4. the course has a compulsory attendance requirement.

Before applying you must refer to the Adverse Circumstance Affecting Assessment Items Procedure available at:

https://policies.newcastle.edu.au/document/view-current.php?id=236

Important Policy Information

The 'HELP for Students' tab in UoNline contains important information that all students should be familiar with, including various systems, policies and procedures.

This course outline was approved by the Head of School. No alteration of this course outline is permitted without Head of School approval. If a change is approved, students will be notified and an amended course outline will be provided in the same manner as the original.

© 2023 The University of Newcastle, Australia

Carpiel

EDUC2136 Assessment Task 1 Essay (40% weighting)

| | Working below expectations: | Working towards: | Working at expectations: | Working beyond: | Working well beyond: | Mark |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------|
| SUMMARY OF TASK CRITERIA | | | | | | out of |
| | Unsatisfactory | Developing | Effective | Well developed | Outstanding | |
| | 0-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9 - 10 | /10 |
| Understanding of drama theo | ry, curriculum and pedagogy– /40 | | | | | |
| Selection and use of relevant of | | | Satisfactory use of relevant drama | | Excellent use of relevant drama | |
| drama theories to substantiate | | theories to substantiate the | theories to substantiate the | theories to substantiate the | theories to substantiate the | /10 |
| | analysis. | analysis | analysis | analysis | analysis | |
| Application of NSW drama | No application of NSW drama | Limited application of NSW | Satisfactory application of NSW | Substantial application of NSW | Exemplary application of NSW | |
| curriculum content and | curriculum content and concepts | drama curriculum content and | drama curriculum content and | drama curriculum content and | drama curriculum content and | /10 |
| concepts to answer the essay | to answer the essay question. | concepts to answer the essay | concepts to answer the essay | concepts to answer the essay | concepts to answer the essay | |
| question. | | question. | question. | question. | question. | |
| Understanding of the signature | = | _ | Satisfactory understanding of the | Substantial understanding of the | Exemplary understanding of the | /10 |
| pedagogies of drama. | signature pedagogies of drama. | signature pedagogies of drama. | signature pedagogies of drama. | signature pedagogies of drama. | signature pedagogies of drama. | ļ - |
| Understanding of the position | No understanding of the position | Limited understanding of the | Satisfactory understanding of the | Substantial understanding of the | Excellent understanding of the | |
| , , , | of drama education within the | position of drama education | position of drama education | position of drama education | position of drama education | /10 |
| broader context of education. | broader context of education. | within the broader context of | within the broader context of | within the broader context of | within the broader context of | |
| - | | education. | education. | education. | education. | |
| | 0-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9 - 10 | /10 |
| 2. Quality of argument and anal | ysis - /30 | | | | | |
| Ability to critically respond to | Does not critically respond to all | Limited ability to critically | Satisfactory ability to critically | Substantial ability to critically | Excellent ability to critically | |
| all aspects of the essay | aspects of the essay question. | respond to all aspects of the | | respond to all aspects of the essay | 1 | /10 |
| question. | | essay question. | question | question | question | |
| Analysis of the unique nature of | No analysis of the unique nature | Limited analysis of the unique | Satisfactory analysis of the unique | - | Excellent analysis of the unique | |
| , , , , , | of the experiential and embodied | nature of the experiential and | nature of the experiential and | nature of the experiential and | nature of the experiential and | /10 |
| • | learning process in drama. | embodied learning process in | embodied learning process in | embodied learning process in | embodied learning process in | , |
| | | drama | drama | drama | drama. | |
| Analysis of the importance and | • | Limited analysis of the | Satisfactory analysis of the | Substantial analysis of the | Highly effective analysis of the | |
| · · · | • | importance and impact of drama | importance and impact of drama | importance and impact of drama | importance and impact of drama | /10 |
| | learning. | on student learning. | on student learning. | on student learning. | on student learning. | |
| | 0-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9 - 10 | /10 |
| 3. Written communication – /30 | | | | | | |
| Provides a well-structured | Does not provide a well- | Limited provision of a well- | Satisfactory provision of a well- | Substantial provision of a well- | Exemplary provision of a well- | |
| coherent argument with a clear | 9 | structured coherent argument | structured coherent argument | structured coherent argument | structured coherent argument | /10 |
| r - | with a clear focus developed and | • | with a clear focus developed and | with a clear focus developed and | with a clear focus developed and | , 10 |
| throughout. | sustained throughout. | sustained throughout. | sustained throughout. | sustained throughout. | sustained throughout. | |
| 1 | <u> </u> | Limited substantiation using | Satisfactory substantiation using | Substantial substantiation using | Outstanding substantiation using | |
| • | examples and theoretical | relevant examples and | relevant examples and theoretical | · | relevant examples and theoretical | /10 |
| theoretical references. | references. | theoretical references. | references. | references. | references. | |
| Uses APA 6 th style academic | No use of APA 7 th style academic | Poor use of APA 7 th style | Satisfactory use of APA 7 th style | Substantial use of APA 7 th style | Exemplary use of APA 7th style | |
| referencing procedures. | referencing procedures | academic referencing | academic referencing procedures. | , | academic referencing | /10 |
| - c, c. cg p. occuu. cs. | . c.c. comg procedures | procedures. | adda referencing procedures. | dadae referencing procedures. | procedures. | |
| | | | | | TOTAL | /100 |

EDUC2136 Assessment Task 2(PART A) Unit Plan (30% weighting)

| | Working below expectations: | Working towards: | Working at expectations: | Working beyond: | Working well beyond: | Mark |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|------|
| SUMMARY OF TASK CRITERIA | | | | | | out |
| | Unsatisfactory | Developing | Effective | Well developed | Outstanding | of: |
| | 0-1 | 1.5 -2 | 2.5 -3 | 3.5 - 4 | 4.5 - 5 | /5 |
| 1. Curriculum understanding and | l interpretation – /30 | | | | | |
| Clear and creative | Does not provide a clear and | Limited provision of a clear and | Satisfactory provision of a clear | Effective provision of a clear and | Excellent provision of a clear and | |
| interpretation of the NSW | creative interpretation of the | creative interpretation of the | and creative interpretation of the | creative interpretation of the | creative interpretation of the | /5 |
| syllabus and dramatic contexts | NSW syllabus and dramatic | NSW syllabus and dramatic | NSW syllabus and dramatic | NSW syllabus and dramatic | NSW syllabus and dramatic | /3 |
| chosen for the unit. | contexts chosen for the unit. | contexts chosen for the unit. | contexts chosen for the unit. | contexts chosen for the unit. | contexts chosen for the unit. | |
| Effective justification for | No justification for learning | Limited justification for learning | Satisfactory justification for | Substantial justification for | Exemplary justification for | |
| learning design provided in the | design provided in the unit | design provided in the unit | learning design provided in the | learning design provided in the | learning design provided in the | /5 |
| unit rationale. | rationale. | rationale. | unit rationale. | unit rationale. | unit rationale. | |
| Understanding and | No understanding and | Limited understanding and | Satisfactory understanding and | Substantial understanding and | Exemplary understanding and | |
| interpretation of the Stage 5 | interpretation of the Stage 5 | interpretation of the Stage 5 | interpretation of the Stage 5 | interpretation of the Stage 5 | interpretation of the Stage 5 | /5 |
| syllabus content required in the | syllabus content required in the | syllabus content required in the | syllabus content required in the | syllabus content required in the | syllabus content required in the | , , |
| chosen dramatic contexts. | chosen dramatic contexts. | chosen dramatic contexts. | chosen dramatic contexts. | chosen dramatic contexts. | chosen dramatic contexts. | |
| Understanding of the practical | No understanding of the practical | | Satisfactory understanding of the | | Excellent understanding of the | |
| skill development required for | skill development required for | practical skill development | practical skill development | practical skill development | practical skill development | /5 |
| the unit. | the unit. | required for the unit. | required for the unit. | required for the unit. | required for the unit. | |
| Understanding of the | No understanding of the | Limited understanding of the | Satisfactory understanding of the | Substantial understanding of the | Outstanding understanding of the | : |
| | conceptual and practical aspects | | | conceptual and practical aspects | conceptual and practical aspects | |
| of dramatic form, style and | of dramatic form, style and | of dramatic form, style and | of dramatic form, style and | of dramatic form, style and | of dramatic form, style and | /5 |
| technique to be learned in the | technique to be learned in the | technique to be learned in the | technique to be learned in the | technique to be learned in the | technique to be learned in the | |
| unit. | unit. | unit. | unit. | unit. | unit. | |
| Provision of appropriate | No provision of appropriate | Limited provision of appropriate | Satisfactory provision of | Substantial provision of | Excellent provision of appropriate | |
| assessment strategies for | assessment strategies for | assessment strategies for | appropriate assessment | appropriate assessment strategies | assessment strategies for | |
| _ , | effective Stage 5 drama learning | effective Stage 5 drama learning | strategies for effective Stage 5 | for effective Stage 5 drama | effective Stage 5 drama learning | /5 |
| (formative and summative). | (formative and summative). | (formative and summative). | drama learning (formative and summative). | learning (formative and summative). | (formative and summative). | |
| | 0-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9 - 10 | /10 |
| 2. Quality of learning and assess | ment design - /60 | | | | | |
| Design rich learning experiences | Does not design rich learning | Limited design of rich learning | design rich learning of | Substantial design of rich learning | Excellent design of rich learning | |
| integrating the three core | experiences integrating the three | experiences integrating the three | experiences integrating the three | experiences integrating the three | experiences integrating the three | |
| practices of the syllabus | core practices of the syllabus | core practices of the syllabus | core practices of the syllabus | core practices of the syllabus | core practices of the syllabus | /10 |
| (making, performing and | (making, performing and | (making, performing and | (making, performing and | (making, performing and | (making, performing and | |
| appreciating). | appreciating). | appreciating). | appreciating). | appreciating). | appreciating). | |
| Quantidas ana atina ann anis atial | Does not provide creative | Some provision of creative | Satisfactory provision of creative | Substantial provision of creative | Excellent provision of creative | |
| Provides creative experiential and collaborative learning | experiential and collaborative | experiential and collaborative | experiential and collaborative | experiential and collaborative | experiential and collaborative | |
| experiences for students that | learning experiences for students | learning experiences for students | learning experiences for students | learning experiences for students | learning experiences for students | /10 |
| embed concepts into practice. | that embed concepts into | that embed concepts into | that embed concepts into | that embed concepts into | that embed concepts into | |
| emsea concepts into practice. | practice. | practice. | practice. | practice. | practice. | |
| Provision of effective | No provision of effective | Limited provision of effective | Satisfactory provision of effective | Substantial provision of effective | Excellent provision of effective | |
| differentiation strategies to | differentiation strategies to cater | ' | differentiation strategies to cater | • | differentiation strategies to cater | /10 |
| cater for the needs of diverse | for the needs of diverse learners. | | for the needs of diverse learners. | | for the needs of diverse learners. | ,10 |
| learners. | is the fields of diverse learners. | is the fields of diverse learners. | is the fields of diverse learners. | inc. and inceas of alverse realificis. | is the fields of diverse learners. | 1 |

| | | | | | TOTAL | /100 |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|------|
| Uses APA 6 th style academic referencing procedures. | referencing procedures. | Poor use of APA 7 th style academic referencing procedures. | Satisfactory use of APA 7 th style academic referencing procedures. | • | Exemplary use of APA 7 th style academic referencing procedures. | /5 |
| Effective analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | | | Satisfactory analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | Substantial analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | Effective analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | /5 |
| 3. Written communication – /10 | | 1.5 -2 | 2.5 -3 | 3.5 - 4 | 4.5 - 5 | /5 |
| Design appropriate summative assessment tasks that reflect syllabus outcomes and content chosen for the unit. | reflect syllabus outcomes and content chosen for the unit. | content chosen for the unit. | summative assessment tasks that reflect syllabus outcomes and content chosen for the unit. | reflect syllabus outcomes and content chosen for the unit. | Excellent design of appropriate summative assessment tasks that reflect syllabus outcomes and content chosen for the unit. | /10 |
| Provision of effective and relevant resources and references to support student learning. | Irelevant resources and reterences | | Some provision of effective and relevant resources and references to support student learning. | Sound provision of effective and relevant resources and references to support student learning. | Excellent provision of effective and relevant resources and references to support student learning. | /10 |
| Effective integration of technologies to enhance the drama learning process. | No integration of technologies to enhance the drama learning process. | | Some integration of technologies to enhance the drama learning process. | to enhance the drama learning | Exemplary integration of technologies to enhance the drama learning process. | /10 |

EDUC2136 Assessment Task 2(PART B) Unit Plan (30% weighting)

| SUMMARY OF TASK CRITERIA | Working below expectations: | Working towards: | Working at expectations: | Working beyond: | Working well beyond: | Mark out |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|-------------|
| | Unsatisfactory | Developing | Effective | Well developed | Outstanding | of: |
| | 0-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9 - 10 | /10 |
| 1. Curriculum understanding – / | 30 | | | | | |
| | No understanding of the experiential and collaborative nature of drama learning. | J | Satisfactory understanding of the experiential and collaborative nature of drama learning. | Substantial understanding of the experiential and collaborative nature of drama learning. | Excellent understanding of the experiential and collaborative nature of drama learning. | /10 |
| | No understanding of selected syllabus outcomes and content. | _ | Satisfactory understanding of selected syllabus outcomes and content. | Substantial understanding of selected syllabus outcomes and content. | Exemplary understanding of selected syllabus outcomes and content. | /10 |
| • | No understanding of the specific drama skills, concepts and techniques to be learned and assessed. | specific drama skills, concepts | Satisfactory understanding of the specific drama skills, concepts and techniques to be learned and assessed. | Substantial understanding of the specific drama skills, concepts and techniques to be learned and assessed. | Exemplary understanding of the specific drama skills, concepts and techniques to be learned and assessed. | /10 |
| | 0-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9 - 10 | /10 |
| 2. Quality of learning and assess | ment design - /50 | | | | | |
| sequenced learning experiences that integrate the three core practices of the syllabus (making, performing and | experiences that integrate the three core practices of the | effectively sequenced learning experiences that integrate the three core practices of the syllabus (making, performing and | Satisfactory design of rich and effectively sequenced learning experiences that integrate the three core practices of the syllabus (making, performing and appreciating). | Substantial design of rich and effectively sequenced learning experiences that integrate the three core practices of the syllabus (making, performing and appreciating). | Excellent design of rich learning design of rich and effectively sequenced learning experiences that integrate the three core practices of the syllabus (making, performing and appreciating). | /10 |
| teaching strategies to engage students in creative, critical collaborative drama learning | Does not use a range of effective teaching strategies to engage students in creative, critical collaborative drama learning experiences. | teaching strategies to engage students in creative, critical | Satisfactory use of a range of effective teaching strategies to engage students in creative, critical collaborative drama learning experiences. | Substantial use of a range of effective teaching strategies to engage students in creative, critical collaborative drama learning experiences. | Excellent use of a range of effective teaching strategies to engage students in creative, critical collaborative drama learning experiences. | /10 |
| differentiation strategies to | No provision of effective differentiation strategies to cater for the needs of diverse learners. | _ | differentiation strategies to cater | Substantial provision of effective differentiation strategies to cater for the needs of diverse learners. | Excellent provision of effective differentiation strategies to cater for the needs of diverse learners. | /10 |
| Identifies and integrates appropriate resources to enhance the learning processes. | No identification and integration of appropriate resources to enhance the drama learning processes. | Limited identification and integration of appropriate resources to enhance the drama learning processes. | Satisfactory identification and integration of appropriate resources to enhance the drama learning processes. | Substantial identification and integration of appropriate resources to enhance the drama learning processes. | Excellent identification and integration of appropriate resources to enhance the drama learning processes. | /10 |
| assessment <u>for</u> learning | No provision of effective assessment for learning strategies that address the lesson outcomes and content. | assessment for learning strategies that address the | assessment for learning | outcomes and content. | assessment for learning strategies that address the lesson outcomes and content. | /10 |
| | 0-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | 9 - 10 | /10 |
| 3. Written communication – /20 | | | | | | |
| Effective analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | No effective analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | Limited analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | Satisfactory analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | Substantial analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | Effective analysis and organisation of knowledge in a coherent framework. | /10 |
| | No use of APA 7 th style academic referencing procedures. | | Satisfactory use of APA 7 th style academic referencing procedures. | Substantial use of APA 7 th style academic referencing procedures. | Exemplary use of APA 7 th style academic referencing procedures. | /10 |
| | | | | | TOTAL | /100 |