

Program Management

Procedure Manual – Coursework

Document Number: 000967 (Edition 7)
Date Approved: 15 November 2017
Date of Commencement: 15 November 2017

2018 Edition

[CLICK HERE for 2017 Manual \(Edition 6\)](#)

[CLICK HERE for 2016 Manual \(Edition 5\)](#)

[CLICK HERE for 2015 Manual \(Edition 4\)](#)

[CLICK HERE for 2014 Manual \(Edition 3\)](#)

[CLICK HERE for 2013 Manual \(Edition 2\)](#)

[CLICK HERE for 2012 Manual \(Edition 1\)](#)

CONTENTS

Foreword	1
Significant additions or amendments to this edition	2
Relevant Definitions	3
Authorising Policy	5
1. Program Development.....	6
1.1. Nomenclature.....	6
1.2. Rationale for Creating a New Program	6
1.3. Planning a New Program.....	6
1.4. Approval for Inclusion in the University's Profile	6
1.5. Business Plan	6
1.6. Equity Groups	8
1.7. Matters for Consideration in the New Program Planning Process.....	8
1.8. Consultation	9
1.9. Approval of Academic Content	9
1.10. Program Documentation.....	10
1.11. Non-AQF compliant programs.....	10
1.12. Resourcing of Programs.....	10
1.13. Fees	10
1.14. Authority to Promote a New Program.....	10
2. Structure of Coursework Programs.....	12
2.1. Principles of Coursework Program Design – Undergraduate Programs	12
2.2. Undergraduate Program Structures	12
2.3. Combined Degree Principles.....	14
2.4. Program Listing.....	15
2.5. Program Components.....	15
2.6. Delivery of Content	19
2.7. Maximum Time for Completing a Program.....	20

2.8.	Professional Accreditation	20
3.	Equity and Support	21
3.1.	Equitable Access	21
3.2.	Learning Support	21
3.3.	Scholarships	21
4.	UON Graduate Identity	22
4.1.	Generic Skills	26
4.2.	Mapping	26
4.3.	Approval and Auditing – UoN Graduate Identity	27
5.	Engaged Learning	28
5.1.	Exchange	28
5.2.	Study Abroad	28
5.3.	Short-term overseas Experience	28
5.4.	Placement	29
5.5.	Work - Integrated Learning (WIL) and Research - Integrated Learning (RIL)	29
6.	Credit Transfer and Articulation	30
6.1.	Definitions	30
6.2.	Academic Program Pathways	31
6.3.	Credit	32
6.4.	Recognition of Prior Learning	36
6.5.	Currency of approval for credit	37
6.6.	Credit for combined programs	37
6.7.	Cross-Institutional Credit	37
6.8.	Articulation Arrangements	38
6.9.	Relinquishing Credit Transfer	38
6.10.	Time Limit on Credit	38
6.11.	Rescission of credit	39
6.12.	Review of credit precedents and articulation arrangements	39

6.13.	Appeals Against Decisions on Credit	39
6.14.	Determination is final	41
7.	Management and Quality Assurance.....	42
7.1.	Program Convenor	42
7.2.	Program Management Groups	44
7.3.	External (Professional or Industry) Advisory Groups	44
7.4.	Programs – Annual Program Reports	45
7.5.	Student Feedback on Programs and Courses	46
8.	External Program Reviews.....	48
8.1.	External Program Reviews	48
8.2.	Initiating the Review.....	49
8.3.	External Review Panel Membership	49
8.4.	Role of Chair of the External Review Panel	50
8.5.	Role of External Review Panel members.....	50
8.6.	Post-Review Actions.....	51
8.7.	Role of Pro Vice-Chancellor	51
8.8.	Documentation Required for the External Program Review	52
9.	Student Progress	56
9.1.	<i>At Risk</i> or Potentially <i>At Risk</i> Students.....	56
9.2.	Students of Academic Concern	57
9.3.	Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee	59
9.4.	Academic Concern Reviews.....	60
9.5.	Appeals Against Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee Academic Concern Review Outcome.....	62
10.	Amendments to Programs	65
10.1.	Major Program Revision	65
10.2.	Approval of major revisions	65
10.3.	When to create a new program proposal	67

10.4.	Minor Program Revisions	67
11.	Managing Transition.....	69
11.1.	Considerations.....	69
11.2.	Processes	70
11.3.	Communication and Record Keeping.....	71
12.	Alignment between Programs and the University Strategic Plan	72
13.	Relaxing Provision.....	72
14.	Essential Supporting Documents	72
15.	Related Documents.....	73
16.	Appendices.....	73

Foreword

This manual supports the *Rules Governing Awards and Programs* and its associated Schedules. In the event of an inconsistency between lower level policy documents and a Rule(s) or Schedule to the Rule(s), the Rule(s) made by Council prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

In the event of an inconsistency between an Academic Senate policy document and a Faculty policy, procedure or guideline, the policy document of Academic Senate prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

For information on designing, managing and reviewing courses offered by the University refer to the *Course Management and Assessment Procedure Manual* at: <http://www.newcastle.edu.au/about-uon/governance-and-leadership/policy-library/document/000996>

For information on admission and enrolment at the University refer to the *Admission and Enrolment Procedure Manual* at: <http://www.newcastle.edu.au/policy/000965.html>

Application of this Manual

This manual is designed to provide clear and concise directions for staff and students of the University when designing, managing, reviewing programs and when recommending the introduction or discontinuation of coursework programs offered by the University.

The *Program Management Procedure Manual (Coursework)* applies to coursework programs and awards created and offered by the University of Newcastle including:

- enabling programs;
- undergraduate and postgraduate coursework programs; and
- coursework programs offered by the University of Newcastle to students studying in Australia and offshore, and including those enrolled through a partner institution.

The *Program Management Procedure Manual* generally applies to the Joint Medical Program (JMP). In the event of an inconsistency between the Manual and the policies and procedures specific to the JMP, then the policies and procedures of the JMP prevail to the extent of the inconsistency.

This manual will be revised annually and published on the University website. The Manual remains in effect for the calendar year (January to December) and will usually only be changed in that year if there are changes to external legislation or urgent amendments approved by the Chair, Teaching and Learning Committee.

Communication with students

The University's primary method of communication with students is electronic, through the UoN student email account and /or the student's nominated preferred email account as recorded in myHub. Students may re-direct their University email to a personal account, but University staff will primarily use the UoN student email account.

All students are expected to check their UoN student email account frequently.

Further information for staff

Where there is a perceived need for a variation from the processes described in the procedure manual, staff should contact the Senior Manager Academic Administration, , Student Central, Academic Division: academicpolicy@newcastle.edu.au

Where assistance is required with the interpretation of policies and/or procedures, staff should contact Student Central.

Staff may provide feedback on this *Manual* by emailing academicpolicy@newcastle.edu.au

Further information for students

For further information or clarification of the content contained in this procedure manual contact academicpolicy@newcastle.edu.au

Significant additions or amendments to this edition

Various minor edits have been made in the 2018 edition with significant amendments listed in Table A.

Table A

Clause	Comment
Relevant Definitions	The Policy team in Assurance Services is leading a glossary project. In the event that the glossary is approved and published the Relevant Definitions will be removed from the Program Management Procedure Manual.
1.14.2	Amended to note that in accordance with the new Governance Rule the authority to approve new awards no longer sits with Council
2.2	Amended to include Advanced Diplomas.
6.10.1	Amended to remove 10 year time limit on credit.
9.1.1	Amended to articulate and differentiate the requirements for our different cohorts of students and to specify that the clause applies only to undergraduate domestic, offshore, or International students not studying on a student visa.
9.2.2	Amended to articulate and differentiate the requirements for our different cohorts of students and to specify that the clause applies only to undergraduate domestic, offshore, or International students not studying on a student visa..
9.4.3	Addition of 'if applicable' to clause relating to advice and support in relation to social and non-academic support, such as the Dean of Students and Office of Student Advocacy.
14	Addition of the Advanced Diploma – Awards and Program Schedule
Further Information	Updated contact information, Approval and Review History.
Appendix 12	Addition of Program specific credit provisions for listed Education and Arts programs.

Relevant Definitions

Academic Senate means the Academic Senate of the University as described in the University of Newcastle Act;

advanced standing is a form of credit for any previous learning as defined by the Australian Qualifications Framework;

articulation agreements enable students to progress from a completed qualification to another with admission and/or credit in a defined pathway;

AQF means the Australian Qualifications Framework: the national policy that sets the specifications for regulated qualifications in Australia;

award means an academic qualification that is conferred when a student has met the relevant program requirements;

conflict of interest is as defined in the University's Conflict of Interest Policy [000934];

Council means the Council of the University as described in the University of Newcastle Act;

course means a subject of study usually within a program. A course is usually 10 units unless otherwise specified;

credit is the value assigned for the recognition of equivalence in content and learning outcomes between different types of learning and/or qualifications. Credit reduces the amount of learning required to achieve a qualification and may be through credit transfer, articulation, recognition of prior learning or advanced standing. The University of Newcastle uses the term 'credit' as an umbrella term to cover 'credit transfer', 'advanced standing' and 'recognition of prior learning';

discontinuation means a program will have no further intake of students;

disestablishment means an award will no longer be offered by the University;

enabling program means one of the tertiary preparation pathways offered to domestic or international students wishing to qualify for university admission;

establishment means the process of approving an award that the University has decided to offer;

ESOS Act means the Education Services for Overseas Students Act 2000 (Cth) and its associated legislative framework;

exit award means an academic qualification that is conferred when a student has met the program requirements of a linked program within the same field of study as listed in the relevant awards and programs schedule;

Faculty means the Faculty responsible for the program, or, in the case of enabling programs, the English Language and Foundation Studies Centre (ELFSC);

learning outcome means, in accordance with the AQF definitions, the expression of a set of knowledge, skills and the application of the knowledge and skills a person has acquired and is able to demonstrate as a result of learning;

nomenclature means the system of naming awards and programs offered by the University;

policy document means any approved University governance instrument including guidelines, procedures, policies, schedules or rule(s);

posthumous award means an award granted after the death of the recipient;

pre-requisite means a condition or requirement which must be fulfilled for admission to a course or program, sometimes called 'requisite';

program means the program of learning that leads to the award of a qualification, or, within the context of this Manual, may mean the program of learning undertaken within an enabling program;

Pro Vice-Chancellor means the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the Faculty responsible for the course or, in the case of the English Language and Foundation Studies Centre, the Director of the Centre;

Program Convenor is the academic staff member, appointed by the relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor, with overall responsibility for the management and quality of a program;

qualification means the formal certification, following the successful completion of an accredited program of learning, that a graduate has achieved the learning outcomes prescribed by the University;

schedule means the Schedule to these Rules, which forms part of these Rules;

student means a person formally enrolled in a course or program offered by the University;

testamur means a degree certificate;

terms means a period of time for the delivery of a course in which students enrol and for which they are usually charged fees; standard terms include, semesters; trimesters; and summer terms. Non-standard terms include: blocks; intensive; and professional development;

unit means the proportional amount of academic credit allotted to a course. This term is used to define the requirements for an award of the University and indicate a student's enrolment load.

University means the University of Newcastle, established by the Act.

Authorising Policy

The *Program Management Procedures Manual - Coursework* has been developed under the authority of the *Academic Senate and the Teaching and Learning Committee*.

Academic Senate aims to ensure that there:

- a. is a broad range of coursework programs available to meet the needs of our students and the community;
- b. are clearly defined program structures outlined in the Schedules to the Rules Governing Awards and Programs;
- c. is equitable access to our programs and policies and procedures which encourage students to utilise learning support;
- d. are demonstrable learning outcomes associated with all coursework programs;
- e. is a commitment to the delivery of engaged learning opportunities in all coursework programs;
- f. are academic pathways that maximise the credit students can gain for learning already undertaken;
- g. is a robust system of quality assurance, to ensure the academic integrity and viability of all programs;
- h. are systems to support student progression;
- i. are transparent and accountable processes for amendment, review and disestablishment of programs; and
- j. is an alignment between coursework program offerings and the strategic goals of the University of Newcastle.

The objective of this procedure manual is to provide clear and concise directions on the development and management of coursework programs to staff and students of the University.

1. Program Development

1.1. Nomenclature

Reflects the level and content of the program and must conform with the Rule Listing Awards [000951] and the AQF (Second Edition) Qualifications Issuance Policy.

1.2. Rationale for Creating a New Program

New programs may be developed in response to the strategic directions of the University, Faculty or Division, or to projected market or student demand.

1.3. Planning a New Program

Any proposed new program must:

- i. comply with the:
 - a. Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF) (Second Edition) (excluding enabling programs);
 - b. Higher Education Standards Framework (Threshold Standards) 2015;
 - c. policy framework of the University;
- ii. align with the strategic directions of the University as described in the current approved University Strategic Plan;
- iii. ensure a breadth of expertise and stakeholder input by the inclusion of external representatives in the program planning team;
- iv. ensure input from other Faculties and Divisions which may be involved in or affected by the introduction of the new program; and
- v. involve the Academic Division.

1.4. Approval for Inclusion in the University's Profile

Any proposed new program must be approved by the Vice-Chancellor who will determine its viability and whether it is consistent with the profile of the University by reviewing the business plan for the program(s).

1.5. Business Plan

1.5.1. Faculties will be required to develop and submit a Business Plan to the Vice-Chancellor for approval if the proposed program will:

- a. be a new offering;
- b. have an increase in the number of units at the same or higher AQF Level;
- c. be offered at a higher AQF Level; or

- d. be offered in conjunction with another provider or a new location of offer for the University.

Each Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor is responsible for the timely development and submission of the Business Plan to the Vice-Chancellor for consideration and approval.

Approved Business Plans must be submitted to the Secretary, Program and Course Approval Committee for University Records.

1.5.2. Programs in categories 1.5.1 b and c above use the 'Abbreviated Business Plan' template.

1.5.3. For proposed new program in categories 1.5.1 a and d above, are required to produce a full business plan for consideration The application must include:

- i. the business plan coversheet signed off by the relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor, the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) and the Vice-Chancellor;
- ii. a rationale for the proposed program.
- iii. the expected level of demand for the program and the expected enrolments for the first five years of the program;
- iv. an assessment of the financial viability of the program;
- v. the physical and facilities required to deliver the program and courses;
- vi. any similar offerings at other institutions;
- vii. how the program will contribute to the University's Institutional Strategic Plan and the Faculty or Divisional Strategic Plan;
- viii. the development of any strategic alliances required (e.g. TAFE, Area Health Services, other universities); and
- ix. an outline of the proposed program including:
 - a. AQF Level;
 - b. volume of learning;
 - c. mode of delivery;
 - d. locations of offer, including detailed information about third party providers who either teach into or offer the program
 - e. sample structure; and
 - f. projected pathway options for progression through the AQF Levels.

All business plans associated with programs will be provided to the Secretary to the Program and Course Approval Committee (PCAC), once approved by the Vice-Chancellor.

1.6. Equity Groups

When developing a program Faculties need to take into consideration the Institutional Equity and Diversity Management Plan and supporting Faculty plans.

1.7. Matters for Consideration in the New Program Planning Process

1.7.1. The following matters must be considered as part of the program planning process and included in the program documentation which is submitted to the Program and Course Approval Committee as part of any program proposal:

- i. The rationale for the new program and its relationship to the Faculty and University Strategic Plan.
- ii. A promotion and recruitment strategy.
- iii. Evaluation and accreditation of the program by industry and/or professional body representatives.
- iv. The Graduate Identity Learning Outcome Mapping of the program.
- v. The structure of the program including:
 - a. the sequence of courses;
 - b. honours;
 - c. majors/ specialisations;
 - d. core, compulsory and directed courses; and
 - e. elective courses.
- vi. The mode(s) of delivery of the program.
- vii. Campuses and locations where the program will be offered.
- viii. Whether the program and its courses will be offered in Semesters, Trimesters or other delivery arrangements.
- ix. Any proposed articulation and credit transfer arrangements.
- x. Whether the program and courses can be supported within the existing library and IT budgets or the Faculty/Faculties are intending to contribute funding.
- xi. Admission requirements including any special selection procedures.

- 1.7.2. The relevant Pro Vice-Chancellor may establish a Working Party or Planning Team to assist with the development of a new program

1.8. Consultation

- 1.8.1. The relevant Pro Vice-Chancellor and Assistant Dean, Teaching and Learning for the Faculty proposing the program, has responsibility for oversight of the program approval process and will ensure that:

- 1.8.1.1. the appropriate consultation and approval mechanisms take place within the Faculty, other Faculties and Divisions – this consultation must be included within the documentation supplied to PCAC for consideration;
- 1.8.1.2. adequate time is built into the development of the program proposal to allow the Vice-Chancellor to approve its inclusion in the University's Profile and for the PCAC to approve the academic content of the program before any publicity for domestic students is undertaken, including listing in a UAC guide.

1.9. Approval of Academic Content

- 1.9.1. The academic content of new programs will be approved by Program and Course Approval Committee on behalf of Academic Senate.
- 1.9.2. Programs to be offered from 2019 will need to ensure that the content is submitted for consideration by the Program and Course Approval Committee no later than May 2018. However, for programs to be included in the undergraduate UAC guide for the following year, new programs should be submitted to the February 2018 meeting of PCAC
- 1.9.3. In exceptional circumstances the Chair of the Program and Course Approval Committee will exercise a delegation to approve academic content out of session.
- 1.9.4. The business plan and the Vice-Chancellor's approval must be provided to the Secretary of the Program and Course Approval Committee prior to the submission of the academic content to the Program and Course Approval Committee.
- 1.9.5. If the structure of the program, once developed, differs from the details outlined in the business plan, the Faculty is required to provide a revised business plan to the Vice-Chancellor for consideration and approval.
- 1.9.6. The academic content of new courses associated with new programs will be approved by the relevant Faculty Board(s) and submitted to the Program and

Course Approval Committee for approval and addition to the University's course availability list (CAL).

1.10. Program Documentation

The official program documentation is available in NUSTAR.

Once a program has been approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee, selected data fields in Nustar will be used to populate the Program Handbook (Handbook)

The Handbook is the official record of program requirements available to students, the community and the Commonwealth Government.

1.11. Non-AQF compliant programs

All non-AQF compliant programs offered by the University of Newcastle must satisfy the following criteria:

1.11.1. A demonstrable industry, professional, community or student need;

1.11.2. A sound educational rationale as the basis for offering the program;

1.11.3. Comparability with AQF qualifications. This will be achieved by describing the program requirements using the AQF taxonomy of learning outcomes (knowledge, skills, application of knowledge and skills and generic learning outcomes); and

1.11.4. An appropriate title that does not use nomenclature which is already used for an award leading to an AQF qualification.

1.12. Resourcing of Programs

1.12.1. The resourcing of programs is the responsibility of the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the relevant Faculty.

1.12.2. The Pro Vice-Chancellor is also responsible for ensuring that where the program is offered by a third party provider, that the provider has allocated adequate resources to ensure that library, IT and other support services are provided to students at a comparable level to those available to students studying at the Callaghan campus.

1.13. Fees

1.13.1. The setting of course and program fees is the Vice-Chancellor's responsibility.

1.14. Authority to Promote a New Program

1.14.1. Preliminary marketing of a new program is permitted, with appropriate disclaimers, to **domestic students and students studying off-shore only**,

following approval of the academic content of the program by Program and Course Approval Committee.

- 1.14.2.** A proposed new award must be approved by the University and have received CRICOS registration prior to being marketed to international on-shore students.
- 1.14.3.** New programs would usually need to receive appropriate Committee approvals by June in order for intakes to commence for the following academic year, to enable the University to meet its Commonwealth Government reporting requirements.

2. Structure of Coursework Programs

2.1. Principles of Coursework Program Design – Undergraduate Programs

2.1.1. The design of each undergraduate coursework program in the University must ensure that graduates have:

- i. met the graduate attributes profile and the generic skills defined by the Faculty and the University. The graduate attribute and generic skill mapping documentation must be approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee on behalf of Academic Senate;
- ii. had their perspectives and knowledge extended beyond a single field of study and/ or professional area through the completion of an elective;
- iii. studied course content that is current, sufficiently challenging and addressed in the depth and breadth required to meet University and relevant external requirements; and
- iv. had the opportunity to receive recognition for relevant prior learning.

2.1.2. Undergraduate coursework program design should ensure that graduates have had an opportunity to:

- i. participate in different modes of educational delivery;
- ii. investigate or research topics both independently and co-operatively; and
- iii. undertake “engaged learning” opportunities, leadership courses, overseas exchange programs or similar that contributed to their breadth of experience and knowledge.

2.2. Undergraduate Program Structures

2.2.1. An 80 unit undergraduate coursework program will:

- i. comply with the requirements as set out in the Diploma Awards and Programs Schedule; and include at least 10 units of electives.

2.2.2. A 120 unit undergraduate coursework program will:

- i. comply with the requirements as set out in the Advanced Diploma Awards and Programs Schedule; and include at least 10 units of electives.

2.2.3. A 160 unit undergraduate coursework program will:

- i. comply with the requirements as set out in the Associate Degree Awards and Programs Schedule; and include at least 10 units of electives.

2.2.4. A 240 unit undergraduate coursework program will:

- i. comply with the requirements as set out in the Bachelor (240 unit) Awards and Programs Schedule;
- ii. require the completion of a 60 unit sequence of core courses with at least 40 units at the 3000 level; or
- iii. require the completion of a major of a minimum of 80 units, which consists of at least 60 unique units of compulsory and / or directed courses with at least 40 units at the 3000 level;
- iv. require the completion of a minimum of 40 units and no more than 100 units at the 1000 level;
- v. require the completion of at least 40 units at each level at the 2000 level and above in the program;
- vi. include at least 10 units of electives.

2.2.5. A 320 unit undergraduate coursework program will:

- i. comply with the requirements as set out in the Bachelor (320 unit) Awards and Programs Schedule;
- ii. require the completion of a 60 unit sequence of core courses with at least 40 units at the 4000 level; or
- iii. require the completion of a major of a minimum of 80 units, which consists of at least 60 unique units of compulsory and / or directed courses with at least 40 units at the 3000 level;
- iv. require the completion of a minimum of 40 units and no more than 120 units at the 1000 level;
- v. require the completion of at least 40 units at each level at the 2000 level and above in the program;
- vi. include at least 10 units of electives.

2.2.6. The structure of an undergraduate coursework program will be varied only in response to particular articulation agreements or external requirements, as approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee.

2.2.7. The structure of a combined degree will require the completion of each separate program component in accordance with clauses 2.2.1.-2.2.5 - See also clause 2.3 on Combined Degree Principles.

2.3. Combined Degree Principles

2.3.1. The core and minimum requirements for each component program must be met. This should be done economically, exploiting overlaps where possible, so that any accreditation of either program is not compromised. The maximum unit value at each level for a combined program containing two undergraduate programs will apply only to the component programs not the combined structures. This will allow the completion more than 100 units at any level so long as no more than 100 units at each level contribute to each of the component programs.

The structure of a combined program containing two undergraduate programs will be varied only in response to particular articulation agreements, external requirements or with a detailed justification, as approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee.

2.3.2. Any courses in the programs that have overlapping content should be assessed in accordance with Academic Senate's credit and articulation procedures, ensuring that:

- i. the learning outcomes of the courses and the methods adopted to achieve these objectives are similar;
- ii. the volume of learning of the courses is similar ;
- iii. the breadth and depth of the material studied in the courses is similar; and
- iv. the assessment used is in accordance with Academic Senate's policy on courses and assessment.

2.3.3. Each combined degree must be approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee, who will ensure that the approved combined degree has an appropriate study plan describing the structure and requirements to complete the combined degree within the advertised timeframe.

2.3.4. The two programs that are to be combined will usually be at the same AQF level, however, accepted combinations include Level 7 / Level 7, Level 7/ Level 8, Level 7/ Level 9, Level 8 / Level 9 and Level 9 / Level 10. Other combinations will be considered from time to time as proposed by Faculty Boards along with any requirements such as the graduates having to complete the lower level qualification first to graduate.

2.3.5. Each component degree of a combined degree should be described in separate program documentation. The minimum requirements for each component program must be met.

This means that a student will:

- i. complete the required programs within the combined degree and receive a testamur for each of the two degrees, simultaneously; or
- ii. complete the requirements for one program and receive the relevant testamur then continue studying until the requirements of the second component program are met and receive that testamur also; or
- iii. complete the requirements for one program and not the requirements for the other receive a testamur for the completed degree and leave the University.

2.3.6. The volume of learning of two combined degrees will usually be less than two separate degrees. For example:

- i. 3+3 year programs (480 units if taken separately), the combined degree should normally be 320 units, i.e. volume of learning equivalent to 4 years;
- ii. 4+3 programs (560 units if taken separately), the combined degree award should normally be 400 units, i.e. volume of learning equivalent to 5 years;
- iii. 4+4 programs (640 units if taken separately), the combined degree award should normally be 480 units, i.e. volume of learning equivalent to 6 years;
- iv. If units vary from those described above, relevant volume of learning information must be provided in published combined degree program information.

2.3.7. Consistent with the AQF (Second Edition) Qualifications Pathways Policy and Academic Senate credit and articulation policies, students entering a combined degree may apply and be granted credit from other institutions.

2.4. Program Listing

2.4.1. All approved coursework programs are listed in the relevant Award and Program Schedule to the Rules Governing Awards and Programs. The Award and Program Schedules also define the structure and requirements of coursework programs.

2.5. Program Components

2.5.1. Courses

Courses and course management are described in the Courses and Assessment Procedures Manual.

2.5.2. Major

- i. A major is a sequence of courses, approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee, which students may be required to complete as part of their undergraduate program (except undergraduate Diplomas). Where there is no specific major then the core courses can form a major. Each major:

- a. must have at least 60 unique units of courses and
- b. is a minimum of 80 units;

Details of the requirements of a major are outlined in Clauses 2.2.3.ii. and 2.2.4.ii.

- ii. An undergraduate Diploma (80 units) may offer a major that is a sequence of courses in a discipline that has been approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee. Where there is no specific major then the core courses can form a major. Each major:
 - a. must have at least 40 unique units.

Majors approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee will be included on the official academic transcript.

2.5.3. Double Major

A double major consists of two majors taken within a single Bachelor (240 units) or Bachelor (Honours) (320 units) program. Each major must conform to the requirements described in the program documentation. Each major must have 60 unique units. The selection of appropriate courses to complete a double major is a responsibility of the student.

2.5.4. Specialisation

A specialisation is a sequence of courses, approved by Academic Senate, which students may be required to complete as part of their postgraduate coursework program. Specialisations approved by Academic Senate will be included on the official academic transcript.

- i. where a specialisation is offered it must contain at least:
 - a. 20 units in a 40 unit Graduate Certificate;
 - b. 40 units in an 80 unit Graduate Diploma;
 - c. 40 units in a Masters program;
- ii. a specialisation may not include any undergraduate courses.

2.5.5. Minor

A minor is a sequence courses providing depth of study in a second or third area of specialisation approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee, available in some programs, comprising fewer units of study than a major

- a. A minor will not be recorded on the official academic transcript

2.5.6. Compulsory Program Requirements

A compulsory program requirement is a course or other element in a program which is:

- i. defined as compulsory in the approved program documentation and included in the Handbook entry;
- ii. either graded or ungraded; and
- iii. required to be completed satisfactorily to either progress in the program or satisfy program requirements.

Compulsory program requirements are typically elements which have a requisite associated with a placement or activity. This definition does not include core courses in the program.

Compulsory program requirements are approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee.

2.5.7. Requisites

Refer also to Clause 5.6 in the Course Management and Assessment Manual

- i. Guidelines for course requisites:
 - a. A course requisite must meet one or more of the criteria for course requisites as listed under clause 2.5.7 ii below;
 - b. A course will not usually have more than two (2) requisites;
 - c. The Program and Course Approval Committee (PCAC) must approve all course requisites;
 - d. Faculties must ensure all applications to PCAC for the approval of course requisites provide sufficient and appropriate information, including an indication of which criteria in Section 2 are being met; and
 - e. The Program Convenor on the advice of the Course Coordinator may waive course requisites for students on a case by case basis.
- ii. Criteria for course requisites:

- a. Enrolment limited by program - enrolment in a course is limited to students enrolled in specified programs. This may be due to resource limitations.
- b. Professional placement - successful completion of listed courses or compulsory components is required prior to enrolment in approved clinical or professional experience courses.
- c. Health and safety reasons - prior successful completion of listed courses or compulsory components is required for safety reasons.
- d. Required Prior Learning - a requisite may be approved when the prior successful completion of a course or unit of study is necessary to ensure successful progression of a student cohort. Appropriate evidence must be provided that prior knowledge affects the outcomes for a student cohort e.g. mapping of course content, the correlations between prior knowledge and student cohort outcomes.
- e. Capstone course - where the course represents the culmination of study in a program, and is described as such in Nustar.
- f. Course Anti-Requisite – where courses contain substantially similar content, such that both courses should not count together towards any program.
- g. Program/Major Anti-Requisite – where a course cannot count towards a given program, because it is substantially similar to content already delivered through the program core or the chosen major.
- h. Course Co-Requisite - The content delivered in the course, and its required activities and/or assessments, are interrelated with another specified course to the extent in which it would be impractical for the student to enrol in the courses in separate terms.

iii. Course Requisite Types

- a. Enrolment limited by Program Requisite - Students must be active in a specific program to enrol in the course. Program Anti-requisite - Students may not be able to enrol in a course if they are active in a specific program. The course is substantially similar to the program's core, and thereby would not introduce the student to new content or offer learning outcomes not already provided through the program's core courses.
- b. Major Anti-requisite - Students may not be able to enrol in a course if they are active in a specific major in a program. The course

contains substantially similar content to courses in the student's chosen major, and thereby would not introduce the student to new content or offer learning outcomes not already provided through the specified major.

- c. Course Pre-requisite - A Pre-requisite may be approved when the prior successful completion of a course or unit of study is necessary to ensure successful progression of a student cohort.
- d. Course Co-requisite – Students may be prevented from enrolling in a course unless they are also enrolled in another specified course in the same term.
- e. Course Pre-requisite or Co-requisite – The student may only enrol in the course if they have successfully completed/have credit for; or are concurrently enrolled in another specified course.
- f. Course Anti-requisite – These may be approved to prevent a student enrolling in a course which is substantially similar to another course a student has already successfully completed in their program.
- g. General Enrolment Requisite – Limitations placed on enrolment in a specified course, based on a student's prior study outside of the University.

2.6. Delivery of Content

2.6.1. If a program is offered in different modes or locations the student learning outcomes must be the same.

2.6.2. Modes

- i. Face to Face
- ii. Online
- iii. Combination of Online and Face to Face.

2.6.3. The mode of delivery of a coursework program, and the courses within a program, may differ between:

- i. Locations of offer
 - a. The University of Newcastle is a multi-campus institution and the Vice-Chancellor is responsible for the approval of new locations of offer.
 - b. The Program and Course Approval Committee is responsible for the approval of programs offered at each location.

ii. Academic Terms

In accordance with the [Rules Governing Admission and Enrolment](#), all term dates (standard and non-standard) must be approved by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic). Refer also to the [Academic Calendar Approval Procedure - D13/164104P](#)

iii. Third party providers

If the program is offered through arrangements with another entity whether in Australia or overseas, the relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor is responsible for ensuring a comparable standard of delivery of content to students and full compliance with the academic policies of the University of Newcastle and the Higher Education Standards Framework.

2.7. Maximum Time for Completing a Program

2.7.1. The maximum time for completing each program is described in the [Rules Governing Awards and Programs](#) and detailed in the [Maximum Time for Completion of Programs Schedule \[000962\]](#).

2.7.2. Under ESOS legislation an international student visa holder must complete within the 'expected duration of their Confirmation of Enrolment'. This means they must complete within the minimum duration specified unless they have compassionate or compelling circumstances or are on an intervention plan.

2.8. Professional Accreditation

2.8.1. Where relevant, the Faculty will be responsible for the establishment and maintenance of professional accreditation for its approved programs.

2.8.2. The professional accreditation of a program will only be promoted following the receipt of written advice from the accrediting authority by the University through the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the relevant Faculty.

2.8.3. Professional accreditation will be noted by the Program and Course Approval Committee on behalf of Academic Senate.

3. Equity and Support

3.1. Equitable Access

3.1.1. Academic Senate supports the University's commitment to providing equitable access through enabling programs offered to Australian and international students. The content, skill development, confidence building and induction to University culture delivered by these programs provide a high quality preparation for University study. Programs offered include Open Foundation, Newstep, Yapug, English Language courses and a range of bridging courses.

3.2. Learning Support

3.2.1. Managing Diversity

Students with special needs admitted to a program are required to complete the requirements of that program (with reasonable adjustments if required).

When a student is accepted into a program, the Faculty (in liaison with the Student Support Unit) is responsible for providing any reasonable accommodations necessary to allow the student to complete the program. Refer to the *Students with a Disability Policy* [000334] for further information.

3.2.2. Learning Development

The Centre for Teaching and Learning is responsible for the development and implementation of strategies to engage and support student learning.

3.3. Scholarships

3.3.1. The University offers a variety of Scholarships to support students and provide access to our programs, visit <http://www.newcastle.edu.au/scholarships/> for more information.

4. UON Graduate Identity


The University of Newcastle fosters enterprising, global graduates eager for life's challenges.

A set of three Graduate Identity clusters have been formulated, as seen in Figure 1. While being easy to remember, the clusters are designed to communicate the complexity of a graduate profile and enable a level of individualisation for a program or specific student. So each cluster reflects a point of balance between related, and often challenging prospects. As demonstrated in figure 1, this point of balance is represented by a '~'. Thus, for:

- 'Academically Distinct ~ Career Ready' some programs will offer a larger component of theoretical content, others will be more practically oriented to a specific profession. However, all programs will be research led and will help students to develop graduate qualities desired by employers.
- 'Locally Engaged ~ Globally Aware' students will have opportunity to operate in the context of the local environment (which includes Singapore) and develop an understanding and respect for Indigenous knowledge. They will however, have the capacity to place their disciplinary knowledge and skills in the context of the world stage.
- 'Entrepreneurial Spirit ~ Social Responsibility' refers to opportunities for students to develop skills in innovation, creativity and entrepreneurship but to situate such a 'spirit' in the context of social responsibility to others, the environment.

The UON Graduate Identity clusters are not discrete elements, but rather overlap in terms of the skills needed to support them. Thus, they form symbiotic connections, where activities conducted to support one cluster facilitate a student's development and comprehension of the others. Central to the model is the core where all three clusters overlap. In this area a deliberate statement is made that it is not the intent to discard the careful and thoughtful work of the schools through their curriculum alignment. Rather to demonstrate that they form a core but only partial picture of the UON Graduate Identity and its employability strategy.

FIGURE 1: THE UON GRADUATE IDENTITY MODEL






To clarify each cluster and to create a deeper understanding of the Graduate Identity cluster titles, a set of descriptors have been developed. In acknowledgement that the Graduate Identity clusters may be used by the UON to market its student employability differentiation, each set of statements firstly indicates the environment the UON offers its students to support development in each Graduate Identity cluster. Secondly, two statements present, at a high level, the specific composites of the UON Graduate Identity reflecting each point of balance in the cluster.

The Graduate Identity cluster descriptors are listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1: THE GRADUATE IDENTITY DESCRIPTORS

<p>Academically Distinct ~ Career Ready UON graduates benefit from a distinctive high quality academic experience informed by world-class research. Thus,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Our graduates possess a suite of skills and academic knowledge, readily transferable to the workplace.• Our graduates are self-directed individuals with a sound disciplinary background valuing life-long learning as professional development.
<p>Locally Engaged ~ Globally Aware UON graduates benefit from globally referenced curricula enhanced by a culturally rich learning environment. Thus,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Our graduates operate effectively in local and global communities by embracing and valuing diversity and respecting Indigenous knowledges.• Our graduates understand the complexity of global issues and exude strong interpersonal skills to operate in culturally diverse communities.
<p>Entrepreneurial Spirit ~ Socially Responsible UON graduates benefit from operating and collaborating responsibly in an authentic interdisciplinary learning environment. Thus,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Our graduates are intellectually curious; seeking creative and innovative solutions considerate of the social and ethical implications of their actions.• Our graduates are committed to sustainable development and communities valuing integrity, fairness and social responsibility.

TABLE 2: AN EXAMPLE FRAMEWORK INDICATING HOW EACH GRADUATE IDENTITY CLUSTER CAN BE INTEGRATED INTO ACADEMIC AND EXTRA-CURRICULAR ACTIVITY

	GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES 	Academically Distinct ~ Career Ready	Locally Engaged ~ Globally Aware	Entrepreneurial Spirit ~ Socially Responsible
 Program	CURRICULUM ALIGNED (all taught students)	Degree program, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work integrated learning (WIL) • Research integrated learning (RIL) 	New framework for programs to incorporate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indigenous knowledges • Internationalisation 	New framework for programs to incorporate elements of creativity / innovation and / or entrepreneurship considering social responsibility
 Optional	CO-CURRICULAR OUTREACH PROGRAMS	Industry Scholarships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • International work integrated learning (iWIL) • Outbound mobility (e.g. Study Abroad, exchange) 	<i>Enterprise activities such as those led by Slingshot</i>
	TOP-UPS	Certificate / Diploma programs which can be incorporated into (some) study programs, including:		
	SPECIALISATION ADD-ON ACADEMIC PROGRAMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Languages • Indigenous studies • Entrepreneurship 		
	CERTIFIED EXTRA-CURRICULAR PATHWAYS	iLEAD expanded / refreshed which in addition to its current offer has pathways to provide students' opportunity in each of the Graduate Identity Clusters, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • entrepreneurial activity • sustainability • community citizenship / volunteering 		

While Table 2's Program - Curriculum Aligned row concentrates on undergraduate programs it is believed that each Graduate Identity cluster can also reflect activities compatible and enhancing postgraduate study.

4.1. Generic Skills

Within each of these overarching clusters there is a combination of six overlapping clusters of skills and abilities:

Communication: Graduates of the University will utilise:

- a. Oral; and
- b. Written

communication as tools for negotiating, creating, interacting, relating to others, supporting new understanding, and furthering their own learning. Where proficiency in oral communication is not demonstrable an alternative approach will be identified to achieve this skill;

Teamwork: While graduates of the University are able to work independently, they also understand and recognise the importance of collaboration and being a reliable, committed, responsible and productive team member [Note: for online, Honours and Research Higher Degree programs, the Program and Course Approval Committee may approve the substitution of Teamwork for 'Working with Others'];

Information literacy: Graduates of the University are able to locate, analyse, evaluate and synthesise information from a wide variety of sources;

Ability to use technology: Graduates of the University are proficient in the appropriate use of contemporary technologies and are adaptable to emerging technologies within their disciplinary context;

Problem solving: Graduates of the University are curious and imaginative thinkers with a desire to meet new challenges and uncover creative solutions; and

Ability to critically analyse: Graduates of the University will question existing knowledge by identifying, defining, analysing and resolving problems. Our graduates have the curiosity to explore, create, and be imaginative when presenting alternative solutions.

4.2. Mapping

4.2.1. All core and/or compulsory courses in new and revised programs need to be mapped, using the approved templates, to:

- a. AQF Qualification Descriptors for the relevant level and the Program Specific Outcomes against the Graduate Identity Clusters;
- b. Generic Skills; and

- c. Discipline Threshold Standards (if defined).
- 4.2.2.** Programs mapped to the University's previous Graduate Attributes will need to be remapped to the UoN Graduate Identity clusters when submitting any future major revisions to the program.
- 4.3.** Approval and Auditing – UoN Graduate Identity
- 4.3.1.** The Program and Course Approval Committee approve UoN Graduate Identity mapping for each program and will oversee and monitor program compliance with UoN Graduate Identity for all academic programs offered by the University.

5. Engaged Learning

5.1. Exchange

5.1.1. The Student Exchange Program provides students with an opportunity to study overseas and to receive credit in their program at the University of Newcastle for the volume of learning undertaken. The University has reciprocal student exchange agreements with various international institutions, which also enables international students to study with the University for a single term or a whole year.

While on exchange, students remain enrolled full time at the University of Newcastle (in special exchange codes) and continue to pay tuition fees to the University of Newcastle, or defer their student contribution as a HECS-HELP loan. No additional tuition fees are paid to the host University.

Students are required to be enrolled in a full time load at the overseas university while on exchange and must be able to receive academic credit from their home faculty for the courses they plan to take on exchange. Students are responsible for negotiating academic credit with their faculty.

Further detail is provided in the Outbound Student Mobility Handbook.

5.2. Study Abroad

5.2.1. Study Abroad also provides students with an opportunity to study overseas and to receive credit for their program at the University of Newcastle for learning undertaken overseas. The University has reciprocal arrangements with overseas partner universities, which also enable international students to study with the University for a single term or whole year.

Unlike Student Exchange, Study Abroad participants must take a leave of absence from the University of Newcastle and pay tuition fees at the overseas institution.

Further detail is provided in the Outbound Student Mobility Handbook.

5.3. Short-term overseas Experience

5.3.1. Short-term overseas experiences are international activities that usually take place during university vacations and typically last from one to eight weeks. Experiences could include overseas university courses, volunteering abroad, work placements and conferences.

Further detail is provided in the Outbound Student Mobility Handbook.

5.4. Placement

5.4.1. A placement means a clinical placement, practicum, internship and any similar form of professional, industrial or vocational experience included in a course or program, in which students are:

- i. assessed; and/or
- ii. awarded a grade; and/or
- iii. required to complete for an award of the University.

More information about the management of courses with placements is provided in the Course Management and Assessment Procedure Manual 000996.

5.5. Work - Integrated Learning (WIL) and Research - Integrated Learning (RIL)

5.5.1. WIL and RIL are the terms used to describe educational activities that integrate theoretical learning with its application in a workplace, profession, career or future employment. WIL is now available for a broad range of undergraduate programs. In some instances WIL may be recognised through assessment and credit. Research-Integrated Learning opportunities are under development.

5.5.2. The WIL experience can be off or on campus, real or simulated, depending on the discipline area, but must involve clearly stated outcomes and assessment and be consistent with quality teaching and learning.

6. Credit Transfer and Articulation

6.1. Definitions

In the context of Section 6 of Program Management Procedure Manual:

Authorised Officer is the designated Student Central staff member, with overall responsibility for processing the credit applications and corresponding outcomes with students. Other Authorised Officers include:

Authorised Officer	Responsibility
Program Convenor	Approval of credit applications on case by case basis for specified and unspecified courses Determining if the outcomes can be used as a precedent Approval rescission of credit on recommendation of Academic Registrar
Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor	Approval of domestic and international articulation agreements Currency of qualifications Determine appeals for decisions by Program Convenor Approve Faculty Credit Variances to be included in Appendix 12 of this Manual
Admissions team in UoN Global	Grant credit in accordance with approved articulation arrangements and precedents
Admissions teams and Program Advisors in Student Central	Grant credit in accordance with approved articulation arrangements and precedents Notify students of Program Convenor determinations Relinquish credit at the request of the student Reject any applications that clearly fall outside of the eligibility criteria set out in this Manual for credit including applications for cross-institutional enrolment
Pathways & Credit Senior Advisor	Recording and maintaining approved articulation arrangements in the credit transfer precedent database.

credit transfer is a process that provides students with agreed and consistent credit outcomes for components of a qualification based on identified equivalence in content

and learning outcomes between matched qualifications. Credit Transfer involves mapping, comparing and evaluation the extent to which the learning outcome, discipline content and assessment requirements of the individual components of one qualification to another qualification;

Credit Transfer at Newcastle System is a data base that lists clear precedent for formal credit transfer agreements between UoN and other education and training providers in Australia and internationally;

final year courses, in the context of undergraduate programs, means the final 80 units of highest level courses in a program according to the requirements for completion set out in the program information;

good academic standing means any student who does not have any enrolment restrictions, or is suspended or is excluded based on the outcome of a Show Cause process for failing to maintain academic progress;

pathways allow students to move through qualification levels with full or partial recognition for the qualifications and/or learning outcomes they already have;

precedents are previous decisions on credit transfer that may be followed in subsequent cases;

Recognition of Prior Learning (“RPL”) means an assessment process of the individual’s prior learning (including formal, informal and non-formal learning) for the purpose of supporting admission, with the recognition used to satisfy, or partially satisfy, course admission criteria, and/or to gain exemption/s for program requirements for postgraduate programs;

specified credit is credit granted towards specific courses of a program of study;

unspecified credit is credit granted towards elective courses of a program of study;

Volume of Learning identifies the notional duration of all activities required for the achievement of the learning outcomes specified for a particular AQF qualification type. It is expressed in equivalent full-time years.

6.2. Academic Program Pathways

6.2.1. Academic Senate supports the University of Newcastle’s commitment to lifelong learning and recognises the need to maximise the credit students can gain for learning already undertaken.

6.2.2. The information on credit and articulation below outlines the requirements for the assessment and granting of credit and the development and approval of institutional articulation arrangements. The provisions of Section 6 of this Manual support the Rules Governing Awards and Programs [000958] and the credit provisions of the Schedules to the Rule Governing Awards and Programs, along

with the requirements of the *Education Services for Overseas Students (ESOS) Act 2000* (Cth) and National Code for Registration Authorities and Providers of Education to Overseas Students. In the event of an inconsistency between this manual and legislation or the Rules and Schedules, the provisions of Commonwealth legislation or Rules and policies made by Council and Academic Senate prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

6.3. Credit

6.3.1. The key principles on which the credit provisions are based include:

- i. students granted such credit are not disadvantaged in achieving the expected learning outcomes for the program;
- ii. the integrity of the program and the award are maintained;
- iii. granting maximum credit for prior learning. The maximum credit is listed in the relevant Awards and Programs Schedules;
- iv. ensuring a consistent and equitable approach to the granting of credit; and
- v. ensuring credit transfer provisions are publicly available and open to enquiry.

6.3.2. Provisions regarding the granting of credit within undergraduate and postgraduate coursework programs are stipulated in the relevant Award and Program Schedule to the Rule Governing Awards and Programs. Individual exceptions may apply – these will be listed in the Appendix to the relevant Award and Program Schedule or within the relevant individual program schedule as approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee and Academic Senate on the recommendation of the relevant Faculty Board.

6.3.3. Eligibility for credit will be determined on the basis of the University's assessment of an individual's application (made in accordance with the prescribed forms) and information they have provided about prior study or learning. For specified credit to be approved the previous knowledge and skills of an applicant must still be current in the context of the program to which the student has been admitted.

6.3.4. All new credit transfer and articulation agreements must be developed in accordance with the AQF Qualifications Pathways Policy¹ using the following as the basis of negotiations:

- i. 50% credit for a completed Advanced Diploma (AQF 6) or Associate Degree (AQF 6) linked to a 3 year Bachelor Degree;

¹ [Australian Qualifications Framework](#) – Qualifications Pathways Policy July 2011, page 77, section 2.1.10.

- ii. 37.5% credit for a completed Advanced Diploma (AQF 6) or Associate Degree (AQF 6) linked to a 4 year Bachelor or Bachelor (Honours) Degree;
- iii. 33 % credit for a completed Diploma (AQF 5) to a 3 year Bachelor Degree;
- iv. 25% credit for a completed Diploma (AQF 5) to a 4 year Bachelor or Bachelor (Honours) Degree;

6.3.5. Credit will not normally be granted for:

- i. qualifications equivalent to AQF Level 4 or below; or
- ii. Certificates of Participation or Statements of Attainment; or
- iii. incomplete AQF Diplomas or Advanced Diplomas or
- iv. any course that is substantially equivalent to one that the student has previously counted towards the same award unless permitted by the Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor. A student with a Commonwealth Supported Place will incur tuition fees at the non-award rate for any such enrolments

AQF Certificates below Level 4 provide vocational training skills below the minimum learning outcomes required for university level study. Exceptions may be made where these qualifications form part of the volume of learning of a completed AQF Diploma or Advanced Diploma which exists as part of articulation/credit transfer agreement.

6.3.6. Subject to individual program schedules or exceptions approved by the relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor and listed in Appendix 12 of this Manual, there is no maximum credit transfer when a student transfers from one incomplete University of Newcastle program/award to another at the same career (ie undergraduate to undergraduate or postgraduate to postgraduate) level, noting that this does not apply to students who are concurrently enrolled in two or more programs. Credit from completed awards undertaken at the University of Newcastle are subject to the maximum credit provisions specified in the relevant Awards and Programs Schedule.

6.3.6.1. Authorised Officers are permitted to grant credit for identical courses taken at the University of Newcastle that:

- a. count towards the new program including any identified equivalent courses that form part of a Program and Course Approval Committee approved transition arrangement for the program;
- b. meet the currency provisions; and

- c. do not exceed any limitations as set out in the relevant Schedules or variances listed in Appendix 12 of this Manual unless the credit is for students transferring from an incomplete UoN program. Students may be granted any relevant credit regardless of Schedule limitations when the previous studies have been undertaken at the University of Newcastle towards an incomplete program/s.

6.3.7. Existing credit transfer and articulation agreements will be honoured for the life of those agreements.

6.3.8. Undergraduate courses will not count as credit in postgraduate programs, except where they form part of the volume of learning in the postgraduate program.

6.3.9. Credit may be granted on the basis of courses and modules (e.g. a series of linked modules completed as professional development training within an approved period) undertaken in an AQF or a non-AQF compliant program with demonstrated comparable and equivalent:

- i. learning outcomes;
- ii. volume of learning;
- iii. content; and
- iv. learning and assessment approaches.

6.3.10. Credit may be granted for courses and awards completed at:

- i. recognised Australian higher education institutions;
- ii. recognised overseas tertiary institutions;
- iii. registered private providers of accredited tertiary education courses; and
- iv. registered Vocational Education and Training providers.

6.3.11. In making credit determinations:

- i. the currency of course content will be considered;
- ii. the recommendation of the relevant Head of School(s); and
- iii. evidence of relevant work experience may also be taken into account.

6.3.12. Specified credit may be granted for the successful completion of a specific course or courses where the learning outcomes are the same and there is substantial overlap of content, as determined by the Faculty, and where the level is deemed to be equivalent to a University of Newcastle course or courses.

- i. The completion of core/compulsory courses, allow a student to demonstrate that they have acquired the learning outcomes required by

the program. Credit for core/compulsory courses will be assessed and will only be granted by the Program Convenor where it is deemed that the student has met the learning outcomes and at least 80% of the content was the same.

- ii. All students who are granted credit must complete more than 50% of their final year courses at the University (except in exceptional circumstances approved by the relevant Program Convenor).

6.3.13. Unspecified credit may be granted for the successful completion of a specific course or courses deemed to be at an appropriate level. Unspecified credit will not be granted for core courses, and will not normally be granted for directed courses, except where specified in Appendix 12 of the Manual – Faculty Credit Variances.

6.3.14. Credit will not be granted for any courses with the following results:

- i. terminating pass (or equivalent); or
- ii. conceded pass (or equivalent); or
- iii. incomplete, special circumstances or withdrawn without academic penalty (or equivalent); or
- iv. fail grade, including withdrawn fail.

6.3.15. Where specified credit has been approved, such approval may be used as a precedent for the awarding of that credit in matching circumstances for three years unless otherwise approved by the relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor as listed in Appendix 12 of this Manual

6.3.16. Credit precedents will be stored in the University's student record system for the term of their currency and may be applied by the relevant authorised officer.

6.3.17. The Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor as Chair of the relevant Faculty Board may approve Faculty specific or programs specific variances which are listed in Appendix 12 of this Manual, for the granting of credit towards courses within programs for which they have responsibility, ensuring such guidelines are compliant with the policies established by Academic Senate. Faculty credit variances may include:

- i. provisions relating to currency of courses which should be no greater than the maximum duration of the program.
- ii. limitation on the use of precedents deemed appropriate by the Faculty;
- iii. limitation on the granting of credit for particular programs; and
- iv. limitation on the granting of credit for core and directed courses.

6.3.18. The relevant Program Convenor is responsible for assessing credit applications in accordance with the provisions of this manual, and the Faculty credit variances set out in Appendix 12 of this Manual, including:

- i. determining the credit to be granted (specified and/or unspecified);
- ii. seeking advice from the relevant Course Coordinator or the Head of the relevant School if an application for credit relates to courses convened by another School;
- iii. approving extensions of the time limit on credit in appropriate cases; and
- iv. indicating if the credit granted will be a precedent.

6.3.18.1. The relevant authorised officer may grant up to 20 units unspecified credit at 1000 level and 20 units unspecified credit at 2000 level for completed AQF Diplomas and Advanced Diplomas, subject to the relevant Awards and Programs Schedule and the Faculty approved variances listed in Appendix 12 of this Manual.

6.3.18.2. The relevant authorised officer may grant unspecified credit for elective courses for studies completed at an equivalent level at an Australian higher education or equivalent institution.

6.4. Recognition of Prior Learning

6.4.1. Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) is a process undertaken in assessing admission to the University.

6.4.2. RPL will only be granted where:

- i. supporting documentation is provided in the form outlined in the admission process;
- ii. it is assessed as meeting the requirements for programs approved by the relevant Faculty.

6.4.3. The assessment of an application for RPL does not guarantee an enrolment in a program at the University of Newcastle.

6.4.4. RPL may be used to grant exemptions in a program. The Program Convenor must specifically identify courses required in lieu of the exempted course/s.

6.4.5. RPL can be awarded as specified or unspecified credit to reduce the volume of learning for the applicable program.

6.5. Currency of approval for credit

6.5.1. Approval for credit on admission to a program will be valid for one calendar year. Credit approval will lapse if the student does not accept and actively enrol in the program to which they are admitted within twelve months.

6.5.2. Where approval for credit has lapsed, the student may reapply but the original approval is not guaranteed.

6.6. Credit for combined programs

6.6.1. Credit for combined programs may be granted in accordance with the Combined Program Principles (Section 2.3) in this manual. Credit may be limited depending on the structure of the combined program.

6.7. Cross-Institutional Credit

6.7.1. Students must apply, pay any applicable fees and receive formal written permission from the University prior to enrolling at another institution.

6.7.1.1. International students on articulation arrangements must complete all courses at the University of Newcastle. Articulation students will not be permitted to undertake any cross-institutional enrolment unless approved by the relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor in exceptional circumstances only.

6.7.2. Cross-institutional study may be available to undergraduate students who have successfully completed a minimum of 40 units of their program and are in good academic standing.

6.7.3. Assessment for Cross-institutional credit will be approved by the relevant Program Convenor in accordance with the provisions in Section 6.3 and clause 6.7.4.

6.7.4. An overall maximum of 40 units of Cross-Institutional credit may be approved, including a maximum of 10 units at the:

- i. 3000 level within a 240 unit program; or
- ii. 4000 level within a 320 unit program.
- iii. For combined degree programs a maximum of 10 units at the highest level may be applied to each of the individual components.

Other limitations may apply – refer to Faculty approved variations in Appendix 12 of this Manual.

6.7.5. The provisions listed in 6.7.1 to 6.7.5 do not apply to students on study aboard or exchange. However, students must apply for and receive permission for credit for exchange or study abroad prior to departure (where possible).

6.8. Articulation Arrangements

6.8.1. In accordance with the Rules Governing Awards and Programs, and subject to the Delegations of Authority, the relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor may approve articulation arrangements with recognised overseas tertiary institutions, registered private providers of accredited tertiary education courses, and registered providers in the Vocational Education and Training (VET) sector.

6.8.1.1. The Pro Vice-Chancellor of each Faculty will report to Academic Senate via Faculty Board on program articulation arrangements within their Faculty.

6.8.2. Domestic Private Registered Training Organisations (RTOs) and private VET Colleges wishing to have credit articulation arrangements with the University of Newcastle will need to lodge an application through the Pathways & Credit Senior Advisor for approval.

6.8.3. The Pathways & Credit Senior Advisor will refer all such applications to the relevant Pro Vice-Chancellor who will make a determination as to whether the University will proceed with the application, and will advise the RTO of the outcome.

6.8.4. Where articulation arrangements have been approved they may be applied by the relevant authorised officer without additional approval.

6.8.5. The relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor will report approved articulation arrangements to the Pathways & Credit Senior Advisor for inclusion in the credit transfer precedent database.

6.9. Relinquishing Credit Transfer

6.9.1. A student may request in writing that credit granted not be counted towards their program. The authorised officer will process this request and notify the student of the amendment.

6.9.2. Credit for exchange or cross institutional studies cannot be rescinded.

6.10. Time Limit on Credit

6.10.1. A time limit may apply where there is concern about the currency of the applicant's knowledge or skills, and/or where professional accreditation requirements limit the granting of credit. Such arrangements will be included in Appendix 12 of this Manual.

6.11. Rescission of credit

6.11.1. The University reserves the right to rescind credit where an error has been made or where the documentation provided by the applicant is incomplete, misleading or invalid. The rescission of credit may be approved by the Program Convenor on the recommendation of the Academic Registrar.

6.11.2. The submission of falsified or misleading documentation may result in actions being taken in accordance with the Student Conduct Rule [000935].

6.12. Review of credit precedents and articulation arrangements

6.12.1. Where a change is made to a provision in this manual or where a precedent or articulation arrangement is reviewed and changed, the original approval will be honoured during this process.

6.12.2. Credit precedents expire after seven years or such date as determined by the Program Convenor.

6.12.3. Articulation arrangements are reviewed on expiry, and must be renegotiated when a program undergoes a major review. Agreements with:

- i. Australian providers expire after seven years;
- ii. International agreements, memoranda of understanding, letters of credit and pathway arrangements may vary depending on the individual arrangement.

6.12.4. When a review may result in a change to existing arrangements the partner institution will be consulted.

6.13. Appeals Against Decisions on Credit

6.13.1. Process

6.13.1.1. The University offers the right of appeal against decisions on credit.

6.13.1.2. The Student may lodge an appeal within 5 Working Days of notice of the determination of credit.

6.13.1.3. An appeal may only be on the basis of at least one of the following grounds:

- (a) evidence of a breach of this procedure;
- (b) evidence that the determination was affected by a Conflict of Interest; and/or
- (c) new information has become available that could not reasonably have been provided by the student earlier, and it is probable that this information would have affected the determination.

6.13.1.4. An appeal on the grounds of outcome alone will not be considered.

6.13.1.5. An appeal must be made in writing and the grounds of appeal must be clearly set out.

6.13.1.6. An appeal is to be made to an Appeal Officer, to be determined as follows:

Original decision maker	Appeal Officer
Admissions teams and Program Advisors in Student Central	Academic Registrar
Program Convenor	Relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor
Head of School	Relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor
Academic Registrar	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)
Relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)

6.13.1.7. Upon receipt of an appeal, the Appeal Officer:

- (a) will consider the information provided in the appeal, to the extent that the information relates to one of the grounds of appeal set out in clause 6.13.1.2;
- (b) will consider material taken into account by the original decision maker in making their determination, which is relevant to the grounds of appeal;
- (c) may request further information from the Student or from the original decision maker; and
- (d) will then:
 - (i) dismiss the appeal; or
 - (ii) uphold the appeal and vary the original decision.

6.14. Determination is final

6.14.1. The Appeal Officer will inform the Student in writing of the determination, including reasons for the determination.

6.14.2. The determination of the Appeal Officer is final and there is no further avenue of appeal within the University.

6.14.3. The Student may have the right to further appeal outside the University.

7. Management and Quality Assurance

7.1. Program Convenor

7.1.1. Definition and Appointment

A “Program Convenor” is the academic staff member of the University appointed by the Pro Vice-Chancellor of a Faculty with overall responsibility for the management and quality of a program (and the courses offered within that program) leading to an award of the University.

The Program Convenor may be a Head of School, Head of Discipline or an academic appointed specifically for the task, depending on the structure of the Faculty.

- i. each program is required to have a duly appointed Program Convenor;
- ii. where more than one Faculty is involved (for example, combined programs) the appointment of the Program Convenor should be based on decisions of the relevant Pro Vice-Chancellors;
- iii. where a program is offered on a number of campuses or in conjunction with a third party provider the Pro Vice-Chancellor may appoint Assistant Program Convenors for each campus to assist the Program Convenor;
- iv. a Program Convenor will typically be an academic at a Level C or above;
- v. the Pro Vice-Chancellor will determine the term of office of a Program Convenor at the time of the appointment. The usual term of office for a Program Convenor will be two years;
- vi. the Pro Vice-Chancellor may designate an alternate to act as Program Convenor in the absence of the appointed staff member;
- vii. the Program Convenor must be appointed at least 6 weeks prior to the start of any teaching period; and
- viii. each Pro Vice-Chancellor will maintain a list of Program Convenors within their Faculty as a quality assurance mechanism when managing programs.

7.1.2. Roles & Responsibilities

The management and quality of existing programs are primarily the responsibility of the Program Convenor with support from the relevant Pro Vice-Chancellor and Head(s) of School.

i. Program Management.

A Program Convenor as Chair of the Program Management Group is responsible for:

- a. writing the Annual Report on Program(s) for submission to first Faculty Board in a year. Refer to Clause 7.4 Program – Annual Program Reports;
- b. overseeing the development of the documentation required for an external review of the program. Refer to Section 8 External Program Review; and
- c. any other program related activity as required by the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the Faculty in which the program exists or is the lead Faculty for a combined degree.

7.1.3. Program Quality

The Program Convenor is responsible to the Pro Vice-Chancellor for program conduct, quality and performance and thus will be responsible for:

- i. assisting the Head(s) of School to ensure:
 - a. the quality and accuracy of course outlines and other materials used in the program;
 - b. adequate staffing and resourcing of courses within the program; and
 - c. where a program is offered in conjunction with a third party provider, that they comply with any academic contractual agreements described in a memorandum of understanding.
- ii. assisting Course Coordinators for core courses to ensure congruency of assessment tasks with the learning objectives, and that the instructions, requirements and criteria are clear, appropriately weighted and timed;
- iii. supporting peer review of teaching into the program;
- iv. ensuring that content meets the learning outcomes for the program, and that course objectives are consistent with Graduate Learning Outcomes (Profile Statements and Attribute) Mapping;
- v. identifying possible issues in students' timetables;
- vi. monitoring the program and addressing issues which may affect student success;

- vii. monitoring program performance including admission criteria, rates of attrition, progression, any articulation arrangements and professional or accreditation requirements; and
- viii. regularly reviewing and evaluating the performance of the program in accordance with University policy.

7.1.4. Student Matters

Program Convenors are responsible for contributing to the experience of students in the program and thus for:

- i. engaging with students and providing an approachable and supportive environment;
- ii. endorsing aspects of a student's program of study if an amended program is required;
- iii. providing recommendations on matters pertaining to the progress of students through the program, in consultation with the relevant Course Coordinators;
- iv. facilitating active engagement by relevant academic staff in student orientation and transition activities for the program;
- v. developing effective means of communicating with students about the program and being responsive to their feedback. This should include meeting with students from the program at least once per teaching term in an environment enabling informal input and general group discussion (including face to face or online discussion forums);
- vi. considering applications for credit and articulation in consultation with the Course Coordinator and in accordance with the University Policy; and
- vii. making and keeping appropriate written or electronic records of advice provided to students.

7.2. Program Management Groups

7.2.1. All programs are required to have a Program Management Group. The generic Program Management Group Terms of Reference are available as Appendix 2 to this Manual.

7.3. External (Professional or Industry) Advisory Groups

7.3.1. Each program or suite of programs (i.e. cluster of coursework programs from the same discipline or program area) with professional accreditation will be required to have an External (Professional or Industry) Advisory Group. The generic

External (Professional or Industry) Advisory Group Terms of Reference are available as Appendix 3 to this Manual.

7.4. Programs – Annual Program Reports

7.4.1. An annual program report on the performance of each academic program over the previous year will be prepared for the relevant Faculty Board by the Program Convenor. The annual program report for each program will usually be submitted to the first Faculty Board meeting of the year following delivery.

7.4.2. To promote efficiencies and to achieve the best outcome from the review process, programs that are similar in nature should be reported in a single document. (Programs are not required to produce an annual report in the year an external program review is scheduled).

- i. Using the Annual Report template (Appendix 4, and supporting report templates: Appendices 5-8), the report will be produced by the Program Convenor in liaison with relevant academics and Faculty and School professional staff.
- ii. The annual program report will highlight successes, areas for improvement and potential development. It will also contain an action plan outlining actions to be undertaken to address the goals and issues identified within the report (Appendix 5, Annual Action Plan Report template). Where an external accreditation has been completed within a 12 month period, the accreditation report can be used to supplement the annual program review.
- iii. The annual program report will be evidenced based and include supporting data. For example data indicating student demand, success and satisfaction levels.
- iv. Current student and graduate data can be obtained by the Faculty from the Program Performance Report (PPR) and in the Program and Core Course Reports which will be provided by Strategy, Planning and Performance (SPP) annually. Other relevant data not available in these reports may be requested from SPP.
- v. Following the submission of each annual program report to the relevant Faculty Board, the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the relevant Faculty must submit a summary report for all programs offered by the Faculty including any action plans to the Program and Course Approval Committee, through the Teaching and Learning Committee, for consideration.

- vi. A copy of each annual program report will be forwarded to SPP after submission to the relevant Faculty Board. SPP will monitor the implementation of any actions highlighted in the annual program reports for reporting to the Program and Course Approval Committee, through the Teaching and Learning Committee.
- vii. Annual program reports will be retained by the University through Records Management Office for use in external program reviews.
- viii. The Program and Course Approval Committee, together with the Teaching and Learning Committee:
 - a. will provide an annual summary report on the annual program reports usually to the second meeting of the Academic Senate of the year; and
 - b. may seek advice from the Pro Vice-Chancellor when a program has consistently performed poorly, as to whether it should be suspended or discontinued.

7.5. Student Feedback on Programs and Courses

The University uses a number of surveys designed as indicators of learning and teaching practices from the student perspective. They are an important source of information to inform individual teaching practice, decisions about teaching duties, as well as program and course curriculum design. They also enable the University to assess how effectively its learning environments and teaching practices facilitate student engagement and learning outcomes.

7.5.1. Student surveys currently used by the University include:

- i. Graduate Outcomes Survey (GOS);
- ii. Commencing Students Survey;
- iii. International Student Barometer;
- iv. Student Feedback on Courses (SFC);
- v. Student Feedback on Programs (SFP);
- vi. Student Feedback on Teaching (SFT);
- vii. Student Feedback on the University of Newcastle (SFUN); and
- viii. [Student Experience Survey](#) (SES).

7.5.2. Implementation and evaluation of Student Feedback

- i. The Strategy, Planning and Performance (SPP) Unit is responsible for the implementation, evaluation and management of surveys in the University.
- ii. SPP will provide regular reports to Academic Senate, through the Teaching and Learning Committee, on survey outcomes.

8. External Program Reviews

8.1. External Program Reviews

A program review is the formal appraisal by a group of academic and professional experts and stakeholders of the quality, currency and sustainability of an academic program or programs.

All external program reviews will be conducted by an External Review Panel in accordance with the provisions listed below.

The Academic Senate and the University's quality enhancement framework require that every program in the University is externally reviewed in a seven-year period. The cycle of external program reviews is approved by Academic Senate and available from the Secretary to the Program and Course Approval Committee.

8.1.1. Within the External Program Review process Academic Senate requires that:

- i. each external program review addresses the External Program Review - Terms of Reference (refer to Generic Terms of Reference: Appendix 9) which may be extended to include other foci relating to the program(s) under review;
- ii. the external program reviews process be transparent and clearly documented;
- iii. where programs are offered in more than one location (including onshore and offshore) a single external program review is undertaken. This single review will consider the comparability of quality and learning experiences;
- iv. where appropriate, external program reviews may be linked to a professional accreditation review and conducted within a shorter timeframe than the seven year period prescribed by Academic Senate (refer to clause 8.8.3 Supporting Documentation for more information);
- v. the relevant Faculty produces a Program Self-Review Report (Appendix 6 – Program Self-Review Report template) prior to an external program review. This Program Self-Review Report will be provided to the External Review Panel as background for each program and the Faculty's plans for it;
- vi. the relevant Faculty will include the approved business plan for consideration by the External Review Panel (this requirement applies only to programs undertaking their initial External Review); and
- vii. the Faculty ensures the timely implementation of Program and Course Approval Committee approved review reports and agreed outcomes.

This will be monitored by the Program and Course Approval Committee, with the assistance of Strategy, Planning and Performance (SPP). The Faculties will be required to report regularly to the Program and Course Approval Committee as outlined in the following sections.

8.2. Initiating the Review

- 8.2.1.** Programs that are similar in nature (including undergraduate and postgraduate) should be reviewed simultaneously as this may promote efficiencies and achieve the best outcome from the review process. Core, compulsory and directed courses offered within the program(s) must also be reviewed at the same time.
- 8.2.2.** Wherever relevant and/or practical, external accreditation documentation can be used to support the review process, as it will likely contain much of the required information.
- 8.2.3.** At the commencement of the review process the Centre for Teaching and Learning will offer training to Faculty staff involved in the review.
- 8.2.4.** The consultation, data collection and analysis process should begin at least one year prior to the scheduled review.
- 8.2.5.** A Call for Submissions will be forwarded by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic), with the assistance of SPP, to all staff and students and other relevant stakeholders of the program.
- 8.2.6.** Non-confidential submissions are included in the program documentation compiled and distributed by the relevant Faculty Quality Assurance, Teaching and Learning Officer.
- 8.2.7.** Confidential submissions are distributed to the External Review Panel by SPP during the review visit and collected at the conclusion of the review.

8.3. External Review Panel Membership

- 8.3.1.** The External Review Panel must comprise no less than seven members, including four external to the University, consisting of at least one:
 - i. employer from a related industry/service;
 - ii. senior academic teaching in a related field at another University;
 - iii. graduate of the program(s);
 - iv. indigenous representative, nominated by the Pro Vice-Chancellor (Indigenous Education and Research);
 - v. a level C academic (or an academic with significant related experience) of the University of Newcastle who is not contributing to the program(s)

or from the same School, preferably an academic member of Academic Senate or its standing committees (where available); and

- vi. senior member of Student Central, nominated by the Academic Registrar.

8.3.2. The Chair will be appointed by the Pro Vice-Chancellor from among the senior academic members of the External Review Panel, typically the member appointed under Clause 8.3.1 (v).

8.3.3. The Director of Strategy, Planning and Performance (SPP) will appoint a member of SPP to act as secretary to the external review panel.

8.4. Role of Chair of the External Review Panel

8.4.1. The Chair of the External Review Panel will:

- i. chair the External Review Panel meetings, ensuring all Terms of Reference for that external program(s) review are adequately addressed;
- ii. ensure that all members of the External Review Panel have equal and fair opportunity for input;
- iii. compile the review report in consultation with External Review Panel members, within the prescribed 6 week timeframe (as per clause 8.5.1. iii); and
- iv. invite contributions from persons with particular expertise required for the External Review, and not represented on the External Review Panel.

8.5. Role of External Review Panel members

8.5.1. The External Review Panel will:

- i. review the documentation supplied to them. This will be forwarded to members by the relevant Faculty within four weeks prior to them visiting the University;
- ii. conduct interviews with the Program Convenor(s), students, members of staff involved in teaching in the Program(s) and any other person or people relevant to the External Review Panel's deliberations; and
- iii. report the finding of the external program review to the relevant Pro Vice-Chancellor(s) no more than six weeks following the completion of the review visit.

8.6. Post-Review Actions

- 8.6.1.** The external program review report will be sent to the relevant Pro Vice-Chancellor for checking of errors no later than 6 weeks following the External Review Panel meeting.
- 8.6.2.** The revised and agreed report is circulated to the Faculty for the development of a Faculty Response and Action Plan. The Faculty Response and Action plans are to be developed no later than 6 weeks following receipt of the final report (Appendix 10, Faculty Response and Action Plan template). These are forwarded to Program and Course Approval Committee, through the Teaching and Learning Committee for approval and SPP for noting and subsequent follow-up.
- 8.6.3.** SPP, with the assistance of the Centre for Teaching and Learning, must liaise with Faculties to assist with the implementation of the action plan, track progress against timelines and share good practices across the University.
- 8.6.4.** Faculties, with the assistance of SPP, must forward annual reports to Program and Course Approval Committee as to the progress of implementation of review outcomes.
- 8.6.5.** External program review outcomes must be communicated to stakeholders, including students, staff, industry, professional and community bodies, and the External Review Panel. This will include, among other strategies:
 - i. A brief summary of the external program review report being placed on the Faculty website within two weeks of the approval of the report. This is to be facilitated by the Program Convenor and approved by the appropriate Pro Vice-Chancellor or delegate;
 - ii. A summary of the Faculty Response and Action Plan is placed on the Faculty website within four weeks of its approval by the Program and Course Approval Committee; and
 - iii. The Program and Course Approval Committee will provide advice to Academic Senate on the status of the Faculty Response and Action Plan.

8.7. Role of Pro Vice-Chancellor

- 8.7.1.** The Pro Vice-Chancellor of the Faculty is responsible for:
 - i. ensuring the relevant Faculty staff coordinate the review visit schedule;
 - ii. ensuring that external program reviews occur in accordance with the Academic Senate approved cycle of review;

- iii. approving the Program Self-Review Report and providing this report to SPP no less than five weeks prior to the external program review;
- iv. approving the terms of reference for the review in consultation with relevant stakeholders, President of the Academic Senate and Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic);
- v. approving of the final composition of the External Review Panel including appointing the Chair;
- vi. forwarding the final Review Report, together with the draft response and action plan to the Faculty Board(s), via the Faculty Teaching and Learning Committee, then to the Program and Course Approval Committee for approval;
- vii. ensuring the communication of review findings to stakeholders, including students and staff of the program, via website, forums and other means. Where more than one Faculty contributes to a program the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the Faculty which owns the program will have overall responsibility for the review; and
- viii. ensuring that the Faculty implements the approved Response and Action Plan in a timely manner.

8.8. Documentation Required for the External Program Review

The following information is to be prepared by the relevant Faculty(ies) and provided to SPP at least five weeks ahead of the panel visit for checking. It will be subsequently forwarded to the External Review Panel at least four weeks prior to their visit to the University by the Faculty responsible for a program.

8.8.1. Program Self-Review Report

An executive summary of the program, as per the University supplied template, supported by the documentation requested below, to be facilitated by the Program Convenor and/or other relevant persons and approved by the Pro Vice-Chancellor. (Appendix 6, Annual Program Self Review Report template)

8.8.2. External Benchmarking

The relevant Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor will ensure that the academic standards (including generic and discipline specific learning outcomes) are achieved by students and the standards actually achieved by students in the program are benchmarked against similar programs offered by other national or international higher education providers.

8.8.3. Supporting Documentation (*provided by SPP to the Faculties as required or requested*)

- i. The latest Program and Core Course Report, which contains trend data on demand, student success, attrition and retention. In generalist programs course reports are organised by discipline or major.
- ii. The previous external program review and subsequent actions and progress on their implementation (where possible).
- iii. Analysis of the alignment of course objectives with content, teaching, learning and assessment methods and graduate capabilities in existing and proposed core and directed courses. In the case of generalist programs, this would include representative examples from the majors and disciplines. The Course Alignment template is attached to assist with this (Appendix 7, Annual Course Alignment Report template).
- iv. Program Handbook entry.
- v. Submissions (non-confidential): staff, service centres (including the Library), industry and other community stakeholders. Confidential submissions are distributed to the External Review Panel by SPP during the review visit and collected at the conclusion of the review (see Initiating the Review).
- vi. The accreditation report (where relevant), if undertaken since the last review, and subsequent actions and progress on their implementation. In consultation with the Faculty and DVC(A), Strategy, Planning and Performance (SPP) will undertake a comparison of the outcomes and the findings will be incorporated into a report that will be included as an addendum to the external program review.
In cases of significant overlap and were it can be determined that there is an equivalent focus on academic quality assurance and rigour, the external accreditation documentation and report can be used to supplement the external program review and annual program review
- vii. The last three annual program reports.
- viii. A table indicating recent and relevant School linkages with industry, the community, and/or other non-University bodies/groups to ensure program relevance and/or currency.

8.8.4. Student Feedback (*provided by SPP to the Faculties as required or requested*)

- i. Student submissions and results from focus groups, if undertaken.

- ii. Summary results for the last three years from the Student Feedback on Courses (SFC), formerly known as Student Evaluation of Courses (SEC), for core, compulsory and directed courses.
- iii. Latest Student Feedback on Programs (SFP) reports, formerly known as the Composite Student Questionnaire (CSQ).

8.8.5. Other Student Survey Data (*provided by SPP to the Faculties as required or requested*)

- i. If not included in Annual Program Reports, the latest Australian Graduate Survey Data (AGS) data, including Course Experience Questionnaire (CEQ) and Graduate Outcomes Survey (GOS) data on the employment status and salary range of graduates.

8.8.6. Staffing Profile and Governance

- i. Provide a breakdown of academic staff, indicating student staff ratios and ratios of fulltime versus sessional /casual staff.
- ii. Complete the Staffing Profile template (Appendix 8, Annual Staffing Profile Report template), to provide a list of ongoing academic staff, indicating courses taught and other responsibilities, qualifications, published research outputs and grants for the previous five years and professional development activities related to improving their teaching OR attach individual resumes with this information.
- iii. List key support (professional) staff positions and their roles/responsibilities.

8.8.7. Other Information

- i. external benchmarking may include:
 - a. comparative data on similar offerings at other institutions on the performance of students in the program, including information on the performance of students by:
 - b. entry pathway
 - c. mode of study; and
 - d. place of study

Comparative data should include student attrition, student progression, program completions and grade distributions;

- ii. data informing the committee of progression and completion rates for students within the program;

- iii. evidence that graduates of the program have attained key graduate attributes, including an appropriate level of English Language proficiency. This evidence includes mapping of Graduate Attributes to learning outcomes (generic and discipline specific); and
- iv. any additional information considered relevant for the evaluation of the program(s) , especially where it will streamline the external program review process with professional accreditation.

9. Student Progress

In accordance with the *Rules Governing Awards and Programs* a student is expected to maintain a satisfactory rate of progress through their program. This section describes the monitoring and support provided to assist their timely completion of a program.

9.1. *At Risk* or Potentially *At Risk* Students

9.1.1. Students are deemed to be *at risk* and not able to maintain a satisfactory rate of progress in their program if they:

- i. have failed a course that has been identified as a compulsory program requirement that must be satisfactorily completed to progress in the program; and/or
- ii. are an undergraduate domestic, offshore, or International student not studying on a student visa, and have failed or withdrawn from more than 50% of units in a term; and/or
- ii. are an International student, studying on a student visa, and have failed 50% or more or have withdrawn from one of more courses in a term.

9.1.2. Student Central must:

- i. identify *at risk* students as soon as possible after the release of official results in a term;
- ii. record *at risk* status against a student record within the student system;
- iii. provide the relevant Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator (or nominee) with a list of *at risk* students within their Faculty ordered by program;
- iv. contact *at risk* students via NUmail on behalf of Academic Registrar providing the following information:
 - a. an indication of why they were identified as *at risk*;
 - b. advice about assistance and support;
 - c. the identification of remedial actions students should take (this may also be referred to as an intervention strategy for international students). This will include an expectation that at risk students are, usually, expected to reduce their course load to a maximum of three courses for the subsequent term of study; and
 - d. a warning that failure to improve their academic performance in the subsequent term of enrolment will result in a formal requirement to

explain why actions, including suspension or exclusion from the program, should not be taken.

Hard copy letters issued under this clause will only be available on request.

- v. identify and monitor students who, at the fully graded date for the term, are deemed to be potentially *at risk* due to having:
 - a. a combination of I and/or S grades that upon resolution may deem the student is *at risk*; or
 - b. an I or S grade against a compulsory program component (CPC) that must be satisfactorily completed to progress in the program.
- vi. contact and case manage as appropriate potentially *at risk* students within a timeframe that will enable the student to undertake remedial action; and
- vii. take action as appropriate following conversion of I & S grades of potentially *at risk* students.

9.1.3. The relevant Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator (or nominee) ensures that the list of *at risk* students is distributed to the Program Convenors for information and action as appropriate. Copies are also forwarded to the Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor (or nominee) and the Assistant Dean (Teaching and Learning).

9.2. Students of Academic Concern

9.2.1. The Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee is authorised by Academic Senate to conduct *Academic Concern* reviews on its behalf.

9.2.2. An *at risk* student is required to respond to a *Notice of Academic Concern* if they:

- i. have failed, for a second time, a course identified as a compulsory program requirement that must be satisfactorily completed to progress in the program, regardless of the period lapsed and/or
- ii. are an undergraduate domestic, offshore or International student not studying on a student visa, and have failed or withdrawn from more than 50% of units in two consecutive terms, regardless of program and any intervening periods of absence including leave of absence, suspension, exclusion or non-enrolment; and/or
- iii. are an International student, studying on a student visa, and have failed 50% or more or have withdrawn from one or more courses in two

consecutive terms, regardless of program and any intervening period of absence including leave of absence, suspension or non-enrolment.

9.2.3. Student Central must:

- i. identify students who must indicate how they will be *Getting Back on Track* as soon as possible after the fully graded date for the term;
- ii. record the *Notice of Academic Concern* status against a student record within the student system;
- iii. contact students of *Academic Concern* via NUmail on behalf of the Academic Registrar, providing the following information:
 - a. an explanation of why they are required to indicate how they will be *Getting Back on Track* and why they should not be excluded from the program;
 - b. the *Getting Back on Track* plan template;
 - c. the due date for the *Getting Back on Track* plan;
 - d. advice that failure to provide a *Getting Back on Track* plan may lead to exclusion or suspension from the program; and
 - e. information as to whom they should contact for assistance and advice about any aspect of the *Notice of Academic Concern* process.

Hard copy letters issued under this clause are only available at the request of the student.

- iv. provide the relevant Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator (or nominee) with a list of the *Notice of Academic Concern* students within their Faculty;
- v. identify and monitor students designated *at risk* in the previous term, regardless of program and any intervening periods of absence including leave of absence, suspension, exclusion, non-enrolment or withdrawal, and who, as at the fully graded date for the term, are deemed to be potentially of *Academic Concern* as they have:
 - a. a combination of I and/or S grades that upon resolution may deem the student is of *Academic Concern*; or
 - b. an I or S grade against a compulsory program requirement which has previously been failed.

- vi. contact and case manage as appropriate potentially of *Academic Concern* students within a timeframe that will enable the student to undertake remedial action;
- vii. take action as appropriate following conversion of I & S grades of potential *Academic Concern* students; and
- viii. ensure sanctions imposed by the Faculty Progress and Appeals Committees are implemented and enforced.

9.2.4. The relevant Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator (or nominee) ensures that the list of *Academic Concern* students is distributed to the Program Convenors for information and action as required. Copies are also forwarded to the Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor (or nominee) and the Assistant Dean (Teaching and Learning).

9.2.5. Students of *Academic Concern* will:

- i. be expected to provide a *Getting Back on Track* plan addressed to the Chair of the Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee outlining why they should not be excluded from the program. The plan must be provided within the prescribed time period and should include:
 - a. an explanation for their poor academic performance and supporting documentary evidence;
 - b. information about any remedial actions that they have undertaken since first being advised of their *at risk* status;
 - c. an indication of any financial considerations that the Committee may take into account;
 - d. their prioritised selection of up to three courses for the subsequent term, noting that these courses must be consistent with the program in which they are enrolled; and
 - e. strategies that they plan to follow for *Getting Back on Track* and improve their academic performance, if permitted to continue.

9.3. Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee

9.3.1. Each Faculty shall establish a Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee with the following membership:

- i. the Deputy Head of the Faculty, or nominee, who shall Chair the Committee;
- ii. the Assistant Dean, Teaching and Learning; of the Faculty;
- iii. the Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator for that Faculty;

- iv. one other member from a pool of five Faculty academic staff determined by the Pro Vice-Chancellor; and
- v. co-opted members from within or outside the Faculty for particular cases as determined by the Chair.

9.3.2. The Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee shall have responsibility for matters referred to it:

- i. under the Program Management Procedure Manual - Coursework 000967 (Section 9);
- ii. under the Course Management and Assessment Procedure Manual – Coursework – 000996 (Section 20); and
- iii. any other student progress or appeals issue forwarded to it by the Faculty Pro Vice-Chancellor, in such cases the Committee shall advise the Pro Vice-Chancellor on an appropriate course of action.

9.4. Academic Concern Reviews

9.4.1. The Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee will convene a review to:

- i. consider *Getting Back on Track* plans provided by students of Academic Concern;
- ii. make a determination based on each student's previous academic record and the information provided to the Committee;
- iii. determine sanctions to be applied to students who have failed to provide a *Getting Back on Track* plan, typically suspension for one academic year; and
- iv. resolve all students of Academic Concern matters in a timely manner.

9.4.2. The Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee may determine that the student has:

- i. addressed their poor academic performance and the Committee may resolve to permit the student to either:
 - a. continue in the program; or
 - b. continue in the program with specified conditions e.g. enrolment limited to specific courses or number of units, participation in an academic support program;
- ii. not adequately addressed their poor academic performance and the Committee may resolve to apply one of the following sanctions:

- a. allow the student to continue in the program but with specified conditions e.g. Enrolment limited to specific courses or number of units, participation in an academic support program; or
- b. suspend the student from the program or Faculty for a specified period of up to one academic year; or
- c. where a student has previously been of Academic Concern exclude the student from the program or Faculty for a period of not less than one academic year.

9.4.3. When suspending or excluding a student, the Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee will consider written evidence:

- i. progress towards program completion;
- ii. whether the student has been provided with advice and support in relation to academic performance and the Review of Progress process;
- iii. whether the student has been provided with advice and support in relation to social and non-academic support, such as the Dean of Students and Office of Student Advocacy (if applicable);
- iv. whether the student has been provided with advice and support in relation to Disability Services (if applicable);
- v. whether any conduct issues have been referred for appropriate investigation and management under the Student Conduct Rule; and
- vi. any mitigating circumstances that the student has claimed are relevant.

9.4.4. The Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee may also recommend that the student consider attending counselling services, or undertake alternative study options.

9.4.5. The Secretary to the Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee will ensure that each student is provided with official written notification of the outcome of the Academic Concern review and information on their right to appeal if they consider correct process has not been followed (procedural grounds). This is sent via NUmil, usually within seven working days of the review.

Hard copy letters issued under this clause are only available at the request of the student.

9.4.6. The Academic Registrar will:

- i. record all decisions and act as required under ESOS Act and National Code;

- ii. report annually to Academic Senate, through the Teaching and Learning Committee, the decisions of the Faculty Progress and Appeals Committees; and
- iii. recommend strategies to Academic Senate to support student progression.

9.5. Appeals Against Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee Academic Concern Review Outcome

9.5.1. A Student may appeal a decision made under the following clauses:

- (a) Section 9.4.2

9.5.2. The Student may lodge an appeal within 5 Working Days of notice of the outcome.

9.5.3. An appeal may only be on one or more of the following grounds:

- (a) evidence of a breach of this Procedure Manual;
- (b) evidence that the determination was affected by a Conflict of Interest; and/or
- (c) new information has become available that could not reasonably have been provided by the Student earlier, and it is probable that this information would have affected the determination.

9.5.4. An appeal on the grounds of outcome alone will not be considered.

9.5.5. An appeal must be made in writing and the grounds of appeal must be clearly set out.

9.5.6. An appeal is to be made to an Appeal Officer, to be determined as follows:

Original decision maker	Appeal Officer/Body
Faculty Progress and Appeals Committee	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)

9.5.7. Upon receipt of an appeal made in time, the Appeal Officer:

- (a) will consider the information provided in the appeal, to the extent that the information relates to one of the grounds of appeal set out in clause 9.5.3;
- (b) will consider material taken into account by the original decision maker in making their determination, which is relevant to the grounds of appeal;
- (c) may request further information from the Student or from the original decision maker; and will then:

- (i) dismiss the appeal; or
- (ii) uphold the appeal and reverse the original decision; or
- (iii) uphold the appeal and vary the original decision.

9.5.8. Determination is final

- 9.5.8.1.** The Appeal Officer will inform the Student in writing of the determination, including reasons for the determination.
- 9.5.8.2.** The determination of the Appeal Officer is final and there is no further avenue of appeal within the University.
- 9.5.8.3.** The Student may have the right to further appeal outside the University.

10. Amendments to Programs

10.1. Major Program Revision

10.1.1. A major revision to an existing coursework program is any change that:

- i. may require additional resources from the Faculty, another Faculty the University or support areas;
- ii. requires consultation external to the University;
- iii. includes addition or deletion of an undergraduate major or postgraduate specialisation [Note: must include Field of Education code appropriate to that major or specialisation];
- iv. includes the additions to or deletions from core or compulsory course lists, ensuring all program learning outcomes are included and revised mapping provided for consideration;
- v. alters program requirements (this includes the requirement to complete prerequisites or compulsory program requirements);
- vi. alters the attendance pattern or mode of offer of an existing program (e.g. external/off shore, online) or adds or deletes a location of offer for an existing program;
- vii. changes the admission and selection criteria for a program including the English language proficiency requirements for the program;
- viii. is deemed by the Pro Vice-Chancellor of the relevant Faculty to be a major revision.

10.2. Approval of major revisions

10.2.1. Major revisions to academic programs must be submitted to and be approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee under the authority of Academic Senate; and

10.2.2. Where applicable, submissions must include mapping documentation along with transition arrangements (refer to Section 11 for information on Transition Arrangements) to ensure students are not disadvantaged as per Clause 13.2 of the Rules Governing Awards and Programs. Submissions must also include advice from the Faculty which offers the program, indicating:

- 10.2.2.1.** the intention, nature and effect of the proposed change;
- 10.2.2.2.** whether there are significant changes in the structure of the program;
- 10.2.2.3.** the specific courses in the program that will be changed;

- 10.2.2.4. whether the proposed change has an impact on a School, Faculty or other area of the University, and if so appropriate consultation MUST take place BEFORE submission of the proposal to the Program and Course Approval Committee for consideration. Details of the consultation must be included in the submission;
- 10.2.2.5. whether the proposed change has implications for more than one program, and if so appropriate consultation MUST take place BEFORE submission of the proposal to the Program and Course Approval Committee for consideration. Details of the consultation must be included in the submission;
- 10.2.2.6. whether the proposed change involves a change to the Organisation of the Academic Year (from semester to trimesters or vice-versa); and
- 10.2.2.7. any resource implications of the proposed change.

10.2.3. Submission must be in a timely manner for consideration by the Program and Course Approval Committee no later than its August 2018 meeting for inclusion in 2019 advertising materials including the UAC website. **For inclusion in the UAC Guide or the University's prospectus, a program must be approved at least 12 months prior to the commencement date of the program.**

10.2.4. In exceptional circumstances, submissions will be considered by the Program and Course Approval Committee post the September 2018 meeting for implementation in 2019. These amendments may not appear in advertising materials if due publication timelines have passed. Faculties are responsible for promulgation to prospective students including UAC applicants and school leavers.

10.2.5. Notifying staff and students

The Assistant Deans, (Teaching and Learning), and the Program Convenor of the program working with the Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator is responsible for notifying relevant Faculty(ies), and other relevant staff of any impact caused by a major revision.

The relevant Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator will notify the Academic Registrar and students of any impact caused by a major program revision.

10.2.6. Discontinuation of a program

The process and approval authority for the discontinuation of a program is contained in Section 17 of the [Rules Governing Awards and Programs](#).

10.3. When to create a new program proposal

10.3.1. A major revision to an existing coursework program may result in the need to establish a new program. Examples include:

- i. a change to the name of an award (with no change to the course content) [Note: Commonwealth government reporting requirements state that changing names of awards/programs will require a new program code]. A business plan is not required, provided there is no change in AQF level or increase in unit value; or
- ii. modifications to ensure AQF compliance that alter its level and/or length (volume of learning) requiring allocation of a new program code.

10.3.2. A Business Plan may be required for the establishment of a new program resulting from the major revision of an existing program(s). Refer to clause 1.5.

10.4. Minor Program Revisions

10.4.1. A minor revision to an existing coursework program is any change that:

- i. has minimal impact on academic content;
- ii. does not impact the mode of delivery of a program;
- iii. may affect Graduate Identity/Learning Outcome Mapping;
- iv. involves changes to Nustar that do not impact on the program delivery, including amendments to the following Nustar text fields:
 - a. Program Description
 - b. Credit Transfer
 - c. Honours/Additional Information
 - d. Accrediting Body
 - e. Transition Requirements
 - f. International Students
 - g. Students with Special Needs
- v. involves the addition and/or deletion of a course(s) to a directed course list;
- vi. changes the nomenclature or code of a course(s) in a program;
- vii. changes the descriptors used for marketing purposes.

10.4.2. Implementation of minor revision

The Quality Assurance, Teaching and Learning Officers are responsible for the implementation of a minor revision.

10.4.3. Approval of minor revision

10.4.3.1. As per the Rules Governing Awards and Programs, minor program revisions are approved by the relevant Faculty Board on the recommendation of the Faculty Teaching and Learning Committee and may be submitted on a standard committee coversheet. A Nustar Report is not required.

10.4.3.2. Faculty Boards are required to provide the Program and Course Approval Committee with an annual report on the minor program revisions approved by the Faculty Board.

10.4.3.3. The Pro Vice-Chancellor (or nominee) is authorised to approve minor program revisions on a case by case for specific students or a specific program. This may include substitution of courses within a directed course list.

a. The Academic Registrar (or nominee) is authorised to allow students to count an approved directed course at a higher level for a directed course at a lower level provided the request does not impact on the minimum/maximum level requirements for the program.

10.4.4. Notifying staff and students

The Assistant Deans, (Teaching and Learning) are responsible for notifying relevant Faculty(ies), and other relevant staff of any impact caused by a minor revision.

The relevant Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator will notify the Academic Registrar and students of any impact caused by a minor program revision.

11. Managing Transition

11.1. Considerations

- 11.1.1.** New programs for introduction in the following year should be approved by the Program and Course Approval Committee (PCAC) by its May meeting of the preceding year. Major program revisions must be approved by the August meeting of the Program and Course Approval Committee for implementation in the following year. There are a number of timelines and deadlines associated with these meetings. Contact the relevant Teaching and Learning Officer in each Faculty or the Secretary, Program and Course Approval Committee.
- 11.1.2.** If accreditation is applicable to the program, this will need to be considered prior to revising the program, including any timeline, re-accreditation and graduate employability/registration issues.
- 11.1.3.** Detailed transition arrangements (preferably by cohort year) must be included in the program documentation submitted to PCAC for consideration and approval.
- 11.1.4.** The impact of the revision / new program will need to be considered for all offshore / multi-campus offerings, ensuring course availability on all campuses and to ensure that program learning outcomes are the same regardless of location or mode of delivery.
- 11.1.5.** It may not be possible for all students to transfer from the old program to the new program. This will depend on the extent of the program revisions and where the students are up to in their current studies with consideration for the different cohorts, including part-time students and students on leave of absence. Analysis of the current cohort of students will be required.
- 11.1.6.** Course equivalencies (credit mapping) will need to be established and included in program documentation for all courses that will be discontinued as a result of the revision / new program. This will ensure that students who are unable to transfer to the new program are able to complete their studies under the old program, if necessary.
- 11.1.7.** All formal articulation agreements affected by the revision / new program will need to be identified, courses mapped accordingly and revised to reflect any program changes.
- 11.1.8.** Consideration needs to be given to how discontinued courses may impact other programs, requiring appropriate consultation with the relevant areas.
- 11.1.9.** Continuing students should not be required to extend the length of time to complete their degree because of a program revision.

11.1.10. The Faculty should consult with International Admissions regarding international students in the application pipeline and what, if any, impact the new or revised program will have. Note: the Faculty will need to discuss with Newcastle International their obligations under ESOS for applicants who have already been made and accepted an offer into the current program.

11.1.11. For exceptional cases that may fall outside of these guidelines, consultation with the Associate Director, Student and Academic Business may be required.

11.2. Processes

11.2.1. New Program (new program code)

- i. If a new program is being developed and replacing an old program, the old program needs to be discontinued. Only continuing students can remain in the old program, with no further (new) intake.
- ii. A New Program Information Sheet will need to be completed for NUSTAR, including associated Australian Higher Education Graduation Statement (AHEGS) information. If the new program is to be offered to International Students, a CRICOS Code must be applied for. This can only be done once the new program has been approved by Council.
- iii. Information regarding the new program is to be sent to Admissions for University Admissions Centre (UAC) coding and website publication.
- iv. Ideally, all continuing students should be transferred to the new program to receive the benefits of the improved program structure. This may not be possible in all cases depending on the nature and scope of the program changes, but students remaining in the old program should be kept to a minimum. This may involve some individually determined transitional arrangements, that is, if the new program structure is suitable for an existing student with only a single or small number of courses which are not consistent between the old and new structures, then a decision may be made that the student will transfer to the new program with an individually determined set of courses to complete in the student's circumstance.
- v. Subject to approval of the transition arrangements by PCAC, students whose situation has been determined by the Program Convenor and Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator (PFLC) as suitable and preferable to transfer to the new program will be transferred automatically. Credit will be automatically applied to the student's record.
 - Students deemed eligible to transfer will be transferred internally by staff in Admissions and Enrolments and Student Systems following the provision of a confirmed list of transferring students supplied to the Manager, Admissions and Enrolments by the relevant PFLC

- vi. Program Handbook is to be updated by 1st October the year prior to the new program being offered, including any transition arrangements.

11.2.2. Revised Program (existing program code)

- i. Ideally, all continuing students should be transferred to the revised program to receive the benefits of the improved program structure. This may not be possible in all cases depending on the nature and scope of the program changes, but students remaining in the old program structure should be kept to a minimum.
- ii. It would be expected that students in the early stages of the degree would be transferred to the revised program. It may be necessary for those students in the latter stages of the program to remain in the existing program arrangements, but there should be an attempt, wherever possible, to transfer students to the revised program arrangements. This may involve individually determined transitional arrangements, that is, if the new program structure is suitable for an existing student with only a single or small number of courses which are not consistent between the old and new structures, then a decision may be made that the student will transfer to the new program with an individually determined set of courses to complete in the student's circumstance.
- iii. Program Handbook is to be updated by 1st October the year prior to the revised program being offered, including all transition arrangements as approved by PCAC. Transition arrangements must include the statement "If you need additional information on the transition arrangements, please contact your Program Advisor".

11.3. Communication and Record Keeping

11.3.1. Students are to be advised about a new program or revision to their program with a personalised letter or other personalised communication. General communications may also occur to cohorts through email, student portal, blackboard, forums. The type of communication will depend on the extent of the changes. All communication is to be approved by the Program Convenor, and relevant PFLC.

11.3.2. All staff in relevant areas are to be advised in writing by the Program and Faculty Liaison Coordinator in regards to a new program or revised program. Relevant areas include Student Recruitment, Admissions and Enrolment, International, Gradschool (if postgraduate) and NUSTAR.

11.3.3. The Program Handbook will include all transition arrangements for varying cohorts as applicable.

11.3.4. All communication to individual students is to be recorded and kept on the students electronic student file. This communication should be individualised,

including what courses are required for completion and / or what courses they have received credit for in the new program or new program structure.

11.3.5. All correspondence with students and / or cohorts and relevant advice is to be recorded via transcript text where applicable, e.g “Transition: Amended program structure applies from 1 January 2014. On 1/2/14 student advised via email on courses required for completion – see reference TRIM doc xxx/14.” (to be used in the case of a significant number of courses left to complete) or “Amended program structure applies from 1 January 2014. Transition: On 1/2/14 student advised via email that they were required to complete ABCD3456, ABCD4666 and ABCD4777” to be used in the case where a small number of courses are remaining to complete under the new arrangements.

11.3.6. A transition folder should be created on the shared drive for each program, including files on the transition arrangements, correspondence sent, students contacted, and cohort identification where applicable. A copy of this documentation should be sent to the Secretary, Program and Course Approval Committee by the PFLC for retention on the central program files within TRIM.

12. Alignment between Programs and the University Strategic Plan

From time to time Academic Senate will develop procedures to ensure that the programs on offer align to the University of Newcastle Strategic Plan.

The details of the procedures are included in this section as required.

13. Relaxing Provision

To provide for exceptional circumstances arising in any particular case, the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) on the recommendation of the relevant Pro Vice-Chancellor(s) may relax any provision of this policy and its associated procedure manual, unless otherwise specified in the Rules Governing Awards and Programs.

14. Essential Supporting Documents

[Rules Governing Awards and Programs 000958](#)

[Associate Degree - Awards and Programs Schedule - 001019](#)

[Bachelor Degree \(240\) - Awards and Programs Schedule - 001020](#) D13/124478P

[Bachelor Honours Degree \(320\) Awards and Programs Schedule - 001022](#) D13/126506P

[Bachelor Honours Degree \(80\) Awards and Programs Schedule - 001021](#) D13/125168P

[Diploma - Awards and Programs Schedule - 001018](#)

[Advanced Diploma – Awards and Programs Schedule](#)

[Enabling Program Schedule - D12/13410P](#)

[Graduate Certificate - Awards and Programs Schedule - 001023 D13/127261P](#)

[Graduate Diploma - Awards and Programs Schedule - 001024 D13/128376P](#)

[Masters Degree \(Coursework\) \(including models for 160,120,80\) - Awards and Programs Schedule - 001025 D13/128995](#)

[Masters Degree \(Extended\) - Awards and Programs Schedule - 001026 D13/129221P](#)

[Undergraduate Awards and Programs Schedule 000960](#)

[Postgraduate Coursework Awards and Programs Schedule 000961](#)

[Maximum Time for Completion of Programs Schedule 000962](#)

[Rules Governing Admission and Enrolment 000966](#)

[Admissions and Enrolment Procedure Manual 000965](#)

[Outbound Student Mobility Handbook](#)

15. Related Documents

Australian Qualification Framework (AQF)

Higher Education Standards Framework (Threshold Standards) 2015

[Bachelor Honours Policy \[000990\]](#)

Courses and Assessment Policy Suite

[Guidelines for Faculties: Transition to AQF Compliance \[001010\]](#)

16. Appendices

[Appendix 1 –Outcome Mapping Templates](#)

[Appendix 2 – Program Management Group Terms of Reference](#)

[Appendix 3 – External \(Professional or Industry\) Advisory Groups Terms of Reference](#)

[Appendix 4 – Annual Program Report template](#)

[Appendix 5 – Annual Program Report Action Plan template](#)

[Appendix 6 – External Program Review Self-Review Report template](#)

[Appendix 7 – Annual Course Alignment Report template](#)

[Appendix 8 – Annual Staffing Profile Report template](#)

[Appendix 9 – External Program Review – Generic Terms of Reference](#)

[Appendix 10 – Faculty Response and Action Plan Template](#)

[Appendix 11 – Transition Checklist](#)

[Appendix 12 – Faculty Credit Variances](#)

Approval Authority	Academic Senate
Amendment Authority	Teaching and Learning Committee
Date Approved	3 August 2011
Date Last Amended	15 November 2017
Date for Review	2018
Policy Sponsor	President of Academic Senate
Policy Owner	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic)
Policy Contact	Senior Manager Academic Administration, Student Central academicpolicy@newcastle.edu.au
Amendment History	<p>15 November 2017 – Academic Senate approved revisions to the Manual for 2018 - See Table A</p> <p>10 January 2017 – typographical error correction to clause 9.5.8 made by Manager Academic Policy and Governance.</p> <p>30 November 2016 – Academic Senate approved revisions to the Manual for 2017 – see Table A</p> <p>5 May 2016 – New Clause 10.4.3.2 and 10.4.3.2 a to streamline approval process for minor revisions including to directed courses lists approved by Chair Teaching and Learning Committee for immediate implementation</p> <p>30 December 2015 – Updated 2016 (Edition 5) of this Manual, approved by the Chair, Teaching and Learning Committee under delegated authority AUB1 for implementation on 1 January 2016</p> <p>11 November 2014 – Updated 2015 (Edition 4) of this Manual, approved by Teaching and Learning Committee, for implementation 1 January 2015.</p> <p>14 October 2014 – weblinks updated by Academic Policy Officer</p> <p>Teaching and Learning Committee 15 July 2014 approved revisions to clause 8.3.1 to revise the External Program Review Panel Membership</p> <p>Teaching and Learning Committee 1 April 2014: approved an amendment to clause 8.3.1.v) to expand the available pool of available academics for immediate implementation</p> <p>28 February 2014 - All references to Planning, Quality and Reporting (PQR) changed to Strategy, Planning and Performance (SPP)</p> <p>31 January 2014 – minor edits made to Appendices</p>

	<p>31 October 2013 – Updated 2014 (Edition 3) of this Manual, approved by Chair, Teaching and Learning Committee under delegated authority, for implementation 1 January 2014.</p> <p>Amendments to clauses 1.1 to 1.7 and Section 10 following review by the Program and Course Approval Committee of its business processes in July 2013, approved by President of Academic Senate, under delegated authority, 12 August 2013.</p> <p>President of Academic Senate approved amendments to clauses 2.2.3.ii, 2.2.4.ii, 2.5.2 and 2.5.3 to reflect revisions to the definition and requirements for a major, under delegated authority on 18 February 2013.</p> <p>Amended title to Procedure Manual to align with legal advice, Governance & Policy, 25 January 2013.</p> <p>Revisions to Section 4, typographical corrections and other updates made to ensure clarity, approved by President of Academic Senate on 11 December 2012 under delegated authority, for implementation from 1 January 2013.</p> <p>Minor title change, Governance and Policy, 21 November 2012.</p> <p>12 November 2012 – Updated 2013 (Edition 2) of this Manual approved by President of Academic Senate under delegated authority, for implementation from 1 January 2013.</p> <p>Addition of content links on landing page. 7 August 2012.</p> <p>Minor correction to insert 6.2 Credit in TOC, Governance and Policy, 27 July 2012.</p> <p>Amendment to the composition of the External Program Review Panel Membership recommended by T.L.C. 22 May 2012 and approved by the President of Academic Senate 1 June 2012, to delete Clause 8.2.1 vii effective from 1 January 2013.</p> <p>Minor corrections to Relevant Definitions, Governance and Policy, 28 May 2012.</p> <p>Amendments to section 1, 6, 8 and 9 approved by PAS February 2012.</p> <p>A list of topics included in this manual added. 11 January 2012.</p> <p>Amendments to clause 2.4 (a) and 6.3.1 as approved by Council 14 October 2011.</p> <p>Approved Academic Senate, 3 August 2011 for implementation 1 January 2012.</p>
--	--