

JOSEPH PIDUTTI

CONSULTING ARBORIST

Diploma of Arboriculture (AQF Level 5)

Certificate No. AHC50510 December 2014

Certificate No. RUH50198 December 2004 -2014

ABN 19 590 337 549

BRN TO356519

3 Victoria Road Tingira Heights 2290 NSW

Ph 02 49 41219 Mobile 0412 996659

E-mail: jparborist@bigpond.com Web: www.josephpiduttiarborist.com.au

Introduction

The purpose of this Amendment is to address Point 2 of the requirements of the Government Architect.

This Amendment is to be used in conjunction with the initial report and all works recommended in that report should be carried out. However where recommendations may differ, recommendation outlined in the amendment should supersede those of the initial assessment.

Assessment and outcomes of this addendum are based on the Site Plan by Denton Corker Marshall Architects - Project No. D0136 Drawing No. A01_0100 Revision D3 Dated 07/09/2018

Amendments

Based on the Site Plan:

- As the new CWS pump station has been re-located Tree Nos. 19 & 20 will not be impacted upon and can now be retained.
- As the new fire hydrant and electric pump station has been re-located Tree Nos. 21, 23 & 24 will not be impacted upon and can now be retained.
- Provided excavation work where the new path link is to be constructed within the TPZ's of Tree Nos. 30 & 31 is kept to minimum (i.e. concrete thickness) it is possible that they can be retained and tolerate the impacts.

However if excavation works is to be more extensive to achieve the required gradient the trees may need to be removed as it is likely that structural roots will be encountered and damaged or excessive removal of soil could lead to instability or a decline in health and vigour.

The project arborist may need to be consulted at the time for further advice regarding the viability of retention in relation to the potential impacts of the proposed works.

- Due to its diseased condition and advanced state of decline Tree No. 22 is not considered suitable for retention and should still be removed. Remedial action is not expected to be beneficial in regards to long term retention prospects. It is likely that dieback and decline will continue over the very short term the tree will eventually die regardless of any impacts
- Due to the close proximity of the new first floor entry ramp to Tree Nos. 32 & 33 are not likely to tolerate the impacts of construction and would still need to be removed. Due to the close proximity of the tree to the ramp they are also not likely to be suitable to position for long term retention